Synthesis of a mannose-capped disaccharide with a thiol terminus
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Abstract
The synthesis of a mannose-capped disaccharide containing a thiol-terminated aglycon was carried out to provide a tethered sugar suitable for attaching to gold nanoparticles to mimic carbohydrate-involved cell-surface interactions. The molecule was constructed via Schmidt glycosylation of an appropriately protected glycosyl donor and an acceptor, followed by radical addition to introduce a thiol-terminated aglycon. Subsequent removal of the N-phthaloyl protective group followed by N-acetylation afforded the corresponding disaccharide 10. Removal of the O-acetyl groups and purification gave the desired target disaccharide 11 in an excellent yield, thus furnishing a sample needed for gold nanoparticle development.
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Introduction
Cell-surface oligosaccharides contribute to specific cell–cell contacts mainly by interactions with proteins (termed lectins).1 There is also evidence that cells use attractive forces between surface oligosaccharides as a mechanism for cell adhesion and recognition.2,3 Such interactions are essential for proper development and higher order function in living systems. An improved understanding of the structure of this carbohydrate landscape and how it affects cell recognition will have a direct impact in fields such as immunology, virology, developmental biology, and biomineralization, where events at the cell surface level play a crucial role.4 High specificity and low affinity are characteristic features of these carbohydrate-involved interactions.5 However, the main challenge to study them is the extremely low affinity of binding. To compensate, multivalent presentation of carbohydrate ligands seems to be a mandatory requirement if one needs to study these interactions quantitatively.5

As a result, the interest in designing multivalent carbohydrate analogues that will mimic the natural cell surface and bind with high affinity to lectins, has been steadily growing. Synthetic
oligosaccharides that are elongated with a suitable spacer have been conjugated to carrier-proteins,\textsuperscript{6} dendrimers,\textsuperscript{7} and polystyrenes.\textsuperscript{8} Recently, inert water-soluble gold glyconanoparticles have been successfully applied as multivalent systems for transmission electron microscopic (TEM) studies on the self-recognition of Le\textsuperscript{x} antigen,\textsuperscript{9} and the mannose binding to \textit{E. coli} FimH adhesin.\textsuperscript{10} Their results suggested that the globular shape and the multivalent display of oligosaccharides at the particle surface made gold glyconanoparticles an extremely suitable tool to overcome the low binding affinity of monomeric oligosaccharides to lectins.\textsuperscript{11,12} In addition, gold nanodots, multivalently coated with thiol spacers containing saccharides via covalent linkages of sulfur atoms to the gold surface, are challenging stable probes for studying carbohydrate-involved interactions.\textsuperscript{13,14} In some in-vitro and in-vivo bio-assays, gold glyconanoparticles also showed exceptionally low cytotoxic activities.\textsuperscript{15}

Herein, we report the synthetic part of an ongoing project, the synthesis of a mannose-capped disaccharide, derivatized with a thiol terminus at the reducing end. According to the overall project, the synthesized disaccharide will be encapsulated to the gold nanoparticles (termed m-AuNP). This tool may provide quantitative understanding of the complex carbohydrate-involved cell–cell interactions, and in the future may give new insights for the development of new pharmaceutically active agents.

**Results and Discussion**

**Synthetic approaches**
Approaches to the desired disaccharide involve the thiol introduction to a furnished disaccharide backbone. The backbone could be reliably constructed by Schmidt’s glycosylation of properly protected glycosyl donors and acceptors.\textsuperscript{16} Meanwhile, methods for thiol introduction vary. Among them, the most widely used ones are by radical addition of a dithiol to the double bond advocated by Vliegenthart and co-workers,\textsuperscript{17} and by reductive amination of the anomeric carbon advocated by Kamerling and co-workers.\textsuperscript{15} Kamerling’s method of reductive amination not only introduced a thiol amine, but also undesirably opened the hemiacetal ring structure of the reducing moiety, which made the sample not ideal for subsequent m-AuNP development. On the other hand, in order to clarify relationships between reactivity and protective groups, Vliegenthart’s method was preliminarily studied with \textit{N}-acetylglucosamine substrates bearing various protective groups. These results demonstrated that substrates with \textit{N}-phthaloyl protective group were most suitable for glycosylation and radical addition reactions. Therefore, the \textit{N}-phthaloyl protection would be introduced on the start, and after glycosylation and thiol addition, it would be converted back to the \textit{N}-acetyl group for better interaction with lectins used in the future. By applying this strategy, the synthesis of the targeted thiol-terminated disaccharide was carried out as described in the following sections.
Synthesis of disaccharide 8

Allyl β-glycoside 1 was prepared by a known procedure. The protected sugar 1, Scheme 1, was subjected to Zemplén conditions to remove all O-acetyl groups in quantitative yield. The resulting triol 2 was reacted without further purification with anisaldehyde dimethyl acetal (ADMA) under mildly acidic conditions to give the 4,6-O-benzylidene protected compound 3. It was found that compound 3 had low solubility in commonly used glycosylating solvents such as CH₂Cl₂ possibly due to the rigidity of the fused-ring structure; therefore, an alternative acceptor with a less rigid structure was prepared.


As a result, the free 3-OH group of 3 was temporarily protected by a levulinoyl group formed by reaction of levulinic acid and 1-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-3-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDCI) under catalysis of 4-(dimethylamino) pyridine. Acidic 4,6-O-benzylidene ring opening with tetrafluoroboric acid and subsequent acetylation with acetic anhydride and pyridine then gave 5. Delevulinoylation of 5 by hydrazine acetate gave the acetylated acceptor 6 with significantly improved solubility in commonly used glycosylating solvents.

The mannosyl trichloroacetimidate donor 7 was synthesized by Tosin and Murphy’s procedure. When both acceptor 6 and donor 7 were available, Schmidt glycosylation was carried out to obtain the protected disaccharide 8. The typical reaction conditions of Schmidt glycosylation involve the use of 4 Å molecular sieves as a drying agent before the moisture-sensitive catalyst (TMSOTf) is applied. After several low-yielding trials by using the beads of 4 Å molecular sieves in our laboratory, we found that the pulverization followed by heat activation of the resulting powder was critical in order to achieve a good yield in this reaction. With a helpful premix–predry technique, the glycosylation yield was finally improved to an excellent 92%.
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Installation of thiol terminus and deprotection

As shown in Scheme 2, treatment of disaccharide 8 with a dithiol in 1,4-dioxane, after radical initiation by AIBN and three hours of heating, installed the thiol spacer as expected in 9. Since the subsequent m-AuNP developments require the presence of an N-acetyl group to better mimic the natural carbohydrates on cell surfaces, the 2-N-phthaloyl group had to be converted to the 2-N-acetyl group at this stage. According to Fairbanks and co-workers, removal of phthalimide protection by ethylenediamine and subsequent re-acetylation by acetic anhydride and pyridine furnished acetamide 10 in a 62% yield. Finally, global deprotection under Zemplén conditions gave the thiol-terminated mannose-capped disaccharide 11 in pure form after GPC purification and subsequent silica gel column chromatography. It is well known that thiols are very likely to dimerize. To reduce this effect in the thiol addition step, some precautions were taken. Firstly, argon was used in the reaction to minimize oxygen’s effect on the oxidative dimerization. Secondly, the solvent (1,4-dioxane) must be carefully dried and distilled. Thirdly, before addition to the reaction mixture, 2-mercaptoethanethiol must be distilled to eliminate the disulfide and other impurities formed during the storage period. Under these conditions, the product was formed and isolated as a monomer. However, after a couple of months of storage in vials, a considerable amount of dimer was formed and detected by mass spectrometry. As a result, pretreatment with a reducing agent (NaCNBH₃) to regenerate the thiol group was required before the compound was used on the coating of gold nanoparticles.

Scheme 2. Installation of thiol terminus and deprotection.
Conclusions

The synthesis of a mannose-capped disaccharide with a thiol-terminated aglycon was carried out to provide a tethered sugar suitable for attachment to gold nanoparticles. The designed molecule was synthesized via Schmidt glycosylation using a properly protected glycosyl donor and acceptor, followed by thiol addition to the terminal double-bond of an allyl group at the reducing end of the disaccharide. After removal of the N-phthalimido group and N-acetylation, followed by O-deacetylation and application of a facile purification protocol, the target disaccharide was produced in an excellent yield.

Experimental Section

General. $^1$H (250 MHz, 300 MHz and 600 MHz) and $^{13}$C (62.5 MHz, 75 MHz and 150 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded at 25 °C with a Bruker AC 250, a Varian Mercury 300 or a Varian Inova 600 instrument. Chemical shifts are given in δ-units (ppm) relative to an internal standard of TMS for $^1$H, and relative to the signal for CDCl$_3$ (δ 77.0) or DMSO-$d_6$ (δ 39.5) for $^{13}$C, unless otherwise stated. Apparent first-order multiplicities are indicated by s, singlet; d, doublet; dd, doublet of doublets; t, triplet; dt, doublet of triplets; q, quartet; m, multiplet. All two-dimensional experiments (gCOSY, gHSQC and TOCSY) were recorded with a Varian Inova 600 instrument. Column chromatography was performed on 60 Å (40–63 µm) silica gel (Sorbent Technologies), and fractions were monitored by TLC on Silica Gel 60 F$_{254}$ (0.2-mm aluminum-backed plates, E. Merck) by detection with 254 nm UV light and then spray–heat development using a p-anisaldehyde–sulfuric acid reagent. High-resolution ESI mass spectra were obtained on quadrupole time-of-flight instrument (Q-Star-TOF) in the electrospray-ionization mode. High-resolution DART mass spectra were obtained on a JEOL AccuTOF-DART workstation with an ESI source. MALDI-TOF mass spectra were obtained on a Voyager-DE PRO BioSpectrometry Workstation. Optical rotations were carried out at the sodium D line in a 1-dm cell at 25 °C; units are (degrees mL)/(g dm). All solvents were dried and distilled by standard methods unless otherwise stated.

Allyl 2-deoxy-2-phthalimido-β-D-glucopyranoside (2).$^{19}$ To a solution of 1 (2.5 g, 5.26 mmol) in dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (10 mL) and dry MeOH (50 mL) was added NaOMe (25% in MeOH, 0.5 mL). The reaction was stirred at r.t. for 1 h, after which time the reaction was neutralized with Dowex 50 x 2-100 (H$^+$ form). The suspension was filtered and evaporated to afford triol 2 as a white solid (1.83 g, quant.), which was used in the next step without further purification. $^1$H NMR (250 MHz, CD$_3$OD): δ 7.89–7.79 (m, 4H), 5.71 (m, 1H), 5.20 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (d, J = 17.0 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (m, 1H), 4.07–3.86 (m, 4H), 3.74 (m, 1H), 3.42–3.30 (m, 2H). $^{13}$C NMR (62.5 MHz, CD$_3$OD): δ 189.63, 169.99, 135.61, 135.26, 133.09, 124.10, 117.35, 98.92, 78.38, 72.69, 70.76, 62.82, 58.61.
Allyl 2-deoxy-4,6-O-p-methoxybenzylidene-2-phthalimido-β-D-glucopyranoside (3). A mixture of thiol 2 (596 mg, 1.71 mmol), ADMA (0.696 mL, 4.09 mmol), TsOH (10 mg) and MeCN (5 mL) was stirred at r.t. for 8 h, after which time 1 mL of TEA was added to adjust the pH to 8, and the solution was evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (2:1 hexane–EtOAc) to give 3 (558 mg, 70%) as a white solid.

$^1$H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 7.86–7.70 (m, 4H), 7.42 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H), 5.68 (m, 1H), 5.52 (s, 1H), 5.29 (d, $J = 8.25$ Hz, 1H), 5.13 (d, $J = 17.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.04 (d, $J = 11.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.04 (d, $J = 17.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.61 (t, $J = 8.75$ Hz, 1H), 4.39–4.22 (m, 3H), 4.15–3.99 (m, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.63–3.54 (m, 2H).

$^{13}$C NMR (62.5 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 191.89, 160.28, 134.08, 133.33, 131.65, 129.46, 127.62, 123.45, 117.58, 113.72, 101.85, 97.95, 82.17, 70.10, 68.66, 68.55, 66.13, 56.53, 55.30.

HRDARTMS: Calcd for C$_{25}$H$_{25}$NO$_8$ m/z 467.1575; [M + H]$^+$ m/z 468.1653 (calcd 468.1658).

Allyl 2-deoxy-3-O-levulinoyl-4,6-O-p-methoxybenzylidene-2-phthalimido-β-D-glucopyranoside (4). To a suspension of 3 (755 mg, 1.62 mmol) in dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (25 mL) were added levulinic acid (282 mg, 2.43 mmol), EDCI (404 mg), and a catalytic amount of DMAP (10 mg). The reaction was stirred at r.t. overnight, and then quenched with water and extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The organic phase was dried with anhyd MgSO$_4$ and evaporated to dryness. The residue was submitted to silica gel column chromatography (2:1 hexane–EtOAc) to give compound 4 as a white solid (740 mg, 81%).

$^1$H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 7.88–7.70 (m, 4H), 7.38 (d, $J = 8.75$ Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, $J = 8.75$ Hz, 2H), 5.92 (t, $J = 8.75$ Hz, 1H), 5.68 (m, 1H), 5.49 (s, 1H), 5.43 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.13 (d, $J = 17.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.04 (d, $J = 12.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.41–4.24 (m, 3H), 4.07–3.99 (m, 2H), 3.87–3.68 (m, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 2.60–2.34 (m, 4H), 1.88 (s, 3H).

$^{13}$C NMR (62.5 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 205.57, 191.66, 171.85, 160.06, 133.96, 133.15, 131.57, 129.36, 127.51, 123.50, 117.74, 113.51, 101.47, 97.78, 79.26, 70.29, 69.68, 68.56, 66.17, 55.22, 55.08, 37.65, 29.33, 27.78.

HRDARTMS: Calcd for C$_{30}$H$_{31}$NO$_{10}$ m/z 565.1942; [M + H]$^+$ m/z 566.2020 (calcd 566.2026).

Allyl 4,6-di-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-3-O-levulinoyl-2-phthalimido-β-D-glucopyranoside (5). To a solution of 4 (555 mg, 0.982 mmol) in MeOH (15 mL) and CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 mL) was added two drops of HBF$_4$. The reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 h. When TLC showed complete consumption of the starting material and formation of a new spot, the reaction was quenched with water and extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The organic phase was dried with anhyd MgSO$_4$ and evaporated to dryness. The residue was submitted to silica gel column chromatography (2:1 hexane–EtOAc) to give compound 5 as a white solid (445 mg, 85%).

$^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 7.81–7.67 (m, 4H), 5.78 (t, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.66 (m, 1H), 5.35 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.14 (d, $J = 9.9$ Hz, 1H), 5.10 (d, $J = 5.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.03 (td, $J = 7.2$ Hz, $J = 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.32–4.25 (m, 3H), 4.15 (td, $J = 12.3$ Hz, $J = 2.4$ Hz, 1H), 4.01 (dd, $J = 12.9$ Hz, $J = 6.3$ Hz, 1H), 3.81 (m, 1H), 2.50 (m, 2H), 2.28 (m, 2H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 2.02 (s, 3H), 1.93 (s, 3H).

$^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 205.67, 171.66, 170.69.
Allyl 4,6-di-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-2-phthalimido-β-D-glucopyranoside (6). To a solution of 5 (552 mg, 1.04 mmol) in 95% EtOH (30 mL) and toluene (10 mL) was added hydrazine acetate (11 mg, 0.122 mmol). The reaction was stirred at r.t. for 2 h, after which time it was concentrated, and the residue was submitted to silica gel column chromatography (1:1 hexane–EtOAc) to give compound 6 as a white solid (438 mg, 97%). 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.83–7.68 (m, 4H), 5.69 (m, 1H), 5.23 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (d, J = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 5.03 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.94 (t, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (m, 1H), 2.82 (bs, 1H), 2.07 (s, 6H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): δ 171.01, 170.83, 168.18, 134.14, 133.37, 131.60, 123.45, 117.68, 97.25, 72.09, 71.82, 70.23, 69.99, 62.28, 56.96, 20.81, 20.74. HRDARTMS: Calcd for C26H29NO11 m/z 531.1735; [M + NH4]+ m/z 549.2098 (calcd 549.2079).

Allyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-α-D-mannopyranosyl-(1→3)-4,6-di-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-2-phthalimido-β-D-glucopyranoside (8). To a stirred suspension of 6 (209 mg, 0.483 mmol), 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-α-D-mannopyranosyl trichloroacetimidate 7 (1.669 g, 3.40 mmol) and powdered 4Å molecular sieves (200 mg, activated at 250 °C) in dry CH2Cl2 (20 mL) was added TMSOTf (20 µL) in CH2Cl2 (0.2 mL) solution at -30 °C. The reaction was stirred under N2 for 1 h and warmed to r.t.. After stirring at r.t. for 4 h the reaction was quenched with TEA, filtered through Celite, concentrated and purified by silica gel column chromatography (5:1 CH2Cl2–EtOAc) to give 8 (338 mg, 92%) as a white solid. 1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.84–7.72 (m, 4H), 5.62 (m, 1H), 5.29 (t, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 5.22–5.05 (m, 4H), 5.00 (m, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (t, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.26–4.20 (m, 3H), 4.08 (m, 1H), 4.02 (s, 6H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): δ 170.77, 170.22, 169.85, 169.59, 169.42, 169.38, 134.52, 133.13, 131.36, 123.66, 117.89, 100.36, 97.01, 80.09, 71.93, 70.19, 69.99, 69.43, 69.41, 68.56, 64.94, 62.07, 61.08, 55.68, 20.77, 20.66, 20.63, 20.57, 20.53, 20.48. HRESIMS: Calcd for C35H41NO18 m/z 763.2318; [M + NH4]+ m/z 781.2602 (calcd 781.2662).

3-(2-Mercaptoethylthio)propyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-α-D-mannopyranosyl-(1→3)-4,6-di-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-2-phthalimido-β-D-glucopyranoside (9). To a stirred solution of 8 (264 mg, 0.346 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (10 mL) was added 2-mercaptoethanethiol (0.44 mL, mmol) and AIBN (15 mg). After stirring for 3 h at 75 °C under Ar, TLC (9:1 CH2Cl2–acetone) showed a complete conversion of the allyl glycoside into the adduct, and the reaction was quenched with cyclohexene (0.3 mL). After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was concentrated. Column chromatography (5:1 CH2Cl2–EtOAc) of the residue yielded 9 (251 mg, 85%) as a white solid. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.88–7.76 (m, 4H), 5.32 (t, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 5.26–5.10 (m, 2H), 5.03 (dd, J = 3.0 Hz, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (t, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 4.31–4.24 (m, 2H), 4.14 (dd, J = 6.9 Hz, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (dd, J = 6.9 Hz, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.02
(bs, 1H), 3.89 (m, 1H), 3.77 (dd, $J = 12.6$ Hz, $J = 2.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.72 (m, 1H), 3.66 (d, $J = 9.3$ Hz, 1H), 3.50 (m, 1H), 3.08 (d, $J = 12.6$ Hz, 1H), 2.51–2.46 (m, 2H), 2.37–2.27 (m, 2H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 1.95 (s, 3H), 1.94 (s, 3H), 1.93 (s, 3H), 1.83–1.57 (m, 4H).

$^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 170.82, 170.27, 169.92, 169.89, 169.61, 169.46, 169.41, 137.88, 137.76, 134.65, 131.32, 123.73, 100.38, 98.20, 80.08, 71.97, 70.19, 69.44, 69.38, 68.54, 68.03, 64.89, 62.03, 61.07, 55.70, 35.92, 29.11, 28.13, 24.42, 20.83, 20.79, 20.69, 20.60, 20.57, 20.51.

HRDARTMS: Calcd for C$_{37}$H$_{47}$NO$_{18}$S$_2$ m/z 857.2234; [M] $-$ m/z 857.2230.

3-(2-Mercaptoethylthio)propyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-$\alpha$-D-mannopyranosyl-(1$\rightarrow$3)-2-acetamido-4,6-di-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-$\beta$-D-glucopyranoside (10). Phthalimide-protected disaccharide 9 (217 mg, 0.253 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (15 mL) and ethylenediamine (6.82 mL, 102 mmol) was added. The solution was then heated at reflux at 65 $^\circ$C. After 2 days, TLC indicated the formation of a major product and complete consumption of the starting material. The reaction mixture was then cooled to r.t. and concentrated, and the residue was redissolved in pyridine (9 mL). The solution was cooled to 0 $^\circ$C and Ac$_2$O (7 mL) was added. After 3 days, the reaction mixture was poured into ice water and extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The combined organic layers were washed with dilute aq HCl and satd aq NaHCO$_3$, dried over anhyd MgSO$_4$, filtered and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (100% EtOAc) to afford 10 (121 mg, 62%) as a white solid.

$^1$H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 6.40 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.24 (t, $J = 10.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.19 (dd, $J = 10.2$ Hz, $J = 3.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.02–4.99 (m, 3H), 4.85 (s, 1H), 4.47 (t, $J = 9.6$ Hz, 1H), 4.25–4.17 (m, 3H), 4.09 (dd, $J = 12.0$ Hz, $J = 3.6$ Hz, 1H), 4.05 (s, 1H), 3.90 (m, 1H), 3.64–3.57 (m, 2H), 2.86–2.78 (m, 4H), 2.59 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 2.09 (s, 6H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 2.01 (s, 3H), 1.98 (s, 3H), 1.94 (s, 3H), 1.89–1.78 (m, 2H).

$^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 171.06, 170.77, 169.85, 169.73, 169.70, 169.56, 99.28, 99.21, 79.93, 71.43, 70.10, 69.62, 68.81, 68.41, 68.17, 66.71, 63.25, 62.20, 58.13, 38.35, 31.22, 29.38, 28.40, 23.64, 20.77, 20.65, 20.54.

HRDARTMS: Calcd for C$_{31}$H$_{47}$NO$_{17}$S$_2$ m/z 769.2280; [M - H] $-$ m/z 768.1979 (calcd 768.2001).

3-(2-Mercaptoethylthio)propyl $\alpha$-D-mannopyranosyl-(1$\rightarrow$3)-2-acetamido-2-deoxy-$\beta$-D-glucopyranoside (11). To a solution of 10 (116 mg, 0.151 mmol) in dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (1 mL) and dry MeOH (5 mL) was added 6 drops of NaOMe (25% in MeOH). The reaction was stirred at r.t. for 2 h, after which time the reaction was neutralized with Dowex 50 x 2-100 (H$^+$ form). The suspension was filtered and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (100% EtOAc) to afford 11 (47 mg, 60%) as a white solid, [$$\alpha$$]$_D$$^{25}$ +28$^\circ$ (c 1.0, H$_2$O).

$^1$H NMR (600 MHz, D$_2$O): $\delta$ 5.32 (s, 1H), 4.58 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 4.05 (t, $J = 2.4$ Hz, 1H), 4.02 (m, 1H), 3.96 (dd, $J = 12.6$ Hz, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.89–3.72 (m, 7H), 3.65–3.60 (m, 2H), 3.51 (m, 1H), 3.39 (s, 1H), 3.00 (dd, $J = 27$ Hz, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 1H), 3.03–2.95 (m, 2H), 2.72–2.64 (m, 2H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 1.95–1.87 (m, 2H).

$^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, D$_2$O): $\delta$ 174.44, 101.41, 101.28, 79.87, 76.02, 73.59, 71.21, 70.70, 69.02, 66.65, 61.13, 60.94, 54.51, 37.90, 30.40, 30.14, 29.00, 27.87, 22.91. MALDI-TOFMS: Calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{35}$NO$_{11}$S$_2$ m/z 517.1646; [M + Na]$^{+}$ m/z 540.1646 (calcd 540.1544).
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