Pyrolytic decomposition of simple acetylenic esters
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Abstract
The mechanism of thermal decomposition of nine acetylenic esters has been examined under conditions of flash vacuum pyrolysis. The products formed may be explained by a series of well-precedented steps involving such processes as ene reactions, alkyne to vinylidene rearrangements, carbene CH insertions, and fragmentation of 2,3-dihydrofuran-2-ones or 5,6-dihydropyran-2-ones with extrusion of CO and CO₂ respectively.
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Introduction

Some time ago we reported that thermal decomposition of stabilised phosphonium ylides 1 bearing adjacent ketone and ethyl ester functions led to extrusion of Ph₃PO to give acetylenic esters 2 (Scheme 1).¹ This transformation was accomplished in high yield using flash vacuum pyrolysis (FVP) at 500 °C, but simply by raising the reaction temperature to 750 °C, complete loss of the ethyl ester function occurred to afford the alk-1-yynes 3 in moderate yield. It was readily demonstrated that this was caused by secondary decomposition of esters 2 to give 3 in an apparently novel process.

Scheme 1
A brief mechanistic study appeared to reveal a combination of different pathways leading to the alk-1-yne with, for example, the trideuterioethyl ester 4 giving a 4:1 mixture of labelled and non-labelled products, while the trifluoroethyl ester 5 also gave the alk-1-yne together with trifluoroacetaldehyde.\textsuperscript{1} In this paper we present full details of these studies as well as an investigation of the pyrolytic decomposition of methyl phenylpropiolate 6, methyl and ethyl propiolate 7 and 8, dimethyl and diethyl acetylenedicarboxylate 9 and 10, and ethyl methyl acetylenedicarboxylate 11.
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Results and Discussion

The acetylenic esters required for this study were either commercially available or readily prepared using standard methods. Phenylpropynoyl chloride was prepared from the corresponding acid and reacted with 2,2,2-trideuterioethanol or 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol to give esters 4 and 5 respectively. The preparation of 11 was most easily accomplished by pyrolytic extrusion of Ph\textsubscript{3}PO (FVP, 500 °C) from the stabilised ylide 12 formed from ethoxycarbonylmethyleneetriphenylphosphorane and methyl oxalyl chloride in the presence of triethylamine.\textsuperscript{2}

As initially observed when the products 2 were formed by pyrolysis of ylides 1, either increasing the ylide pyrolysis temperature to 750 °C or isolating 2 from pyrolysis at 500 °C and subsequently subjecting it to FVP at 750 °C gave the alk-1-yne 3. Thus when 2 (R = Ph) was subjected to FVP at 750 °C, 3 (R = Ph) was formed in 70% isolated yield together with a low yield of ethene recognised by its \textsuperscript{1}H NMR signal at 5.4 ppm which rapidly disappeared upon warming the solution to RT. Several mechanisms can be invoked to account for this reaction and the most important of these are shown in Scheme 2. Although these all account for formation of PhC≡CH, the ethoxycarbonyl group lost ends up either as methane and two molecules of CO (Route 1) or ethene and CO\textsubscript{2} (Routes 2 and 3). The process of Route 1 involving an ene reaction is similar to the formation of benzophenone and methyleneketene by FVP of diphenylmethyl propiolate,\textsuperscript{3} and also bears some resemblance to the process observed upon FVP of phenyl propiolate to give cyclohepta[b]furan-2(2\textit{H})-one.\textsuperscript{4} In the course of the former study, Brown and coworkers also observed minor products arising from formation of a carbene and its intramolecular CH insertion as shown in Route 3,\textsuperscript{5} and such isomerisation is a common feature of the pyrolysis chemistry of
alkynes. In an attempt to shed more light on the processes involved, we examined the behaviour of the deuteriated and fluorinated analogues 4 and 5.

Scheme 2. Possible routes for decomposition of acetylenic esters.

FVP of 4 at 750 °C gave almost the same yield as 2 (R = Ph) but the product consisted of a mixture of PhC≡CD (60%) as expected from Route 2 or 3 and PhC≡CH (15%) as expected from Route 1. In the case of 5, Routes 2 or 3 which would involve breaking the strong C–F bond to give the little known 1-fluoroalkyne are obviously unfavourable and PhC≡CH (55%) was formed together with a low yield of trifluoroacetaldehyde, a compound whose convenient preparation and isolation in pure form has just recently been described. It is thus clear that any assumption that loss of the ethoxycarbonyl group must be as ethene and CO₂ is invalid and this prompted us to examine the behaviour of the corresponding methyl ester 6.

In this case, the FVP temperature had to be increased slightly and even at 780 °C there was still some unreacted starting material (13%). The main product was phenylacetylene (37%) accompanied by a little styrene (4%). As shown in Scheme 3, the mechanism of Route 1 is still viable and would now involve loss of formaldehyde and CO to give PhC≡CH, while a new pathway (Route 4) similar to Route 3 of Scheme 2 but now involving insertion of the carbene into CH would form a five-membered ring heterocycle, the 2,5-dihydrofuran-2-one (butenolide) 13. Fragmentation of this can account for the major product, while isomerisation to the 2,3-dihydrofuran-2-one isomer 14 followed by the well preceded elimination of CO to give cinnamaldehyde and its further decarbonylation provides a route to styrene.
Scheme 3. Possible routes for decomposition of 6.

Elimination of CO from the 5-methyl and 5-phenyl analogues 15 to give the vinyl ketones 16, as well as from the 5-aryl-2,3-dihydrofuran-2,3-diones 17 to give benzoylketen 18 have been observed under similar conditions.

The behaviour of methyl and ethyl propiolate 7 and 8 has been reported under slightly different pyrolytic conditions of 600 °C at 12-14 Torr with a nitrogen carrier gas. This led to the formation of butenolides by the process analogous to Route 4 in Scheme 3 above (Scheme 4).

Scheme 4

Under our conditions, which differ most especially in having a lower pressure and so favouring fragmentation processes, complete reaction of 7 required the higher temperature of 830 °C, and the main product trapped was acrolein 20 (15%) accompanied by low yields of formaldehyde and ethene. The formation of acrolein is attributed to loss of CO from the 2,3-dihydrofuran-2-one...
isomer of butenolide 19 and indeed FVP of 19 under the same conditions gave 20 (13%). This process is clearly analogous to the reaction proposed for 14 and the already reported decarbonylations of 15 and 17 mentioned above. The possible reaction pathways shown in Scheme 5 allow for formation of formaldehyde, CO and ethyne either by Route 1 or Route 4 but formation of ethene and particularly acrolein points to the carbene formation and CH insertion of Route 4 as the major pyriolytic process.

**Scheme 5.** Possible routes for decomposition of 7.

Ethyl propiolate 8 exhibited quite different behaviour. It decomposed completely at 750 °C to give mainly gaseous products which could only be trapped in low yield and included acetaldehyde, ethyne, ethene and methane. The formation of these may be accounted for by the processes of Scheme 6. It can be seen here that Routes 1, 3 and 4 are all feasible and lead to the observed final products. Whether the carbene formed by rearrangement of 8 is more likely to insert into the CH₃ C–H to give the dihydropyranone or into the CH₂ C–H to give the dihydrofuranone as observed by Dreiding and coworkers¹⁰ is unclear, although in a related case we have previously observed exclusive insertion of carbene 21 into the CH₂ C–H of an ethyl ester to give the smaller of two possible rings 22.¹¹
Scheme 6. Possible routes for decomposition of 8.

To complete this study we examined the pyrolysis behaviour of the readily available symmetrical acetylenic diesters 9 and 10 together with the mixed ethyl methyl ester 11. The results in these cases served to reinforce the pattern of behaviour already observed and the major products were accounted for by combinations of the processes detailed above. FVP of DMAD 9 again required a somewhat higher temperature (830 °C) for complete reaction and gave acrolein as the major product in 68% yield, accompanied by small amounts of formaldehyde and ethene.

Scheme 7. Possible routes for decomposition of 9.
As shown in Scheme 7, either Route 1 or Route 4 results in loss of one methoxycarbonyl group as formaldehyde and CO and the resulting methyl propiolate then simply fragments as already observed (Scheme 5) to give acrolein and thus ethene. The double-bond migration at the butenolide stage which would lead to loss of CO and formation of the unsaturated aldehydo ester, perhaps followed by decarbonylation to methyl acrylate was not observed and is perhaps less favourable with the conjugated double bond.

This pattern is essentially repeated with diethyl acetylenedicarboxylate 10, which reacts completely upon FVP at 750 °C to give acetaldehyde, ethene and methane together with CO and CO₂. This is accounted for by loss of one ethoxycarbonyl group (Scheme 8) leading to ethyl propiolate 8 which then further degrades to the same fragments plus ethyne by the processes of Scheme 6.

Finally, the mixed diester 11 provided a direct comparison between the ease of fragmentation of ethyl and methyl ester groups. It reacted completely at 760 °C and as expected the ethyl ester was lost first (Scheme 8) leading to production of acetaldehyde and ethene but in this case also an isolable quantity of methyl propiolate 7. This last product did however mainly break down under the conditions used according to Scheme 5 to give acrolein in 45% yield.

**Scheme 8.** Possible routes for decomposition of 10 and 11.

**Experimental Section**

**General Procedures.** Infra red spectra were recorded for liquid films on a Perkin Elmer 1420 instrument. NMR spectra were obtained for 

\[ ^1H \] at 300 MHz, for \[ ^2H \] at 46 MHz and for \[ ^13C \] at 75
MHz using a Bruker AM300 instrument and for \(^{19}\)F at 75.3 MHz using a Bruker WP80 instrument. All spectra were run on solutions in CDCl\(_3\) with internal Me\(_4\)Si as reference for \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C and internal CFCl\(_3\) for \(^{19}\)F, except \(^2\)H spectra which were run in CHCl\(_3\) with internal CDCl\(_3\) (\(\delta_H 7.30\)) as reference. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm to high frequency of the reference and coupling constants \(J\) are in Hz. Mass spectra were obtained on an A. E. I. MS-50 spectrometer using electron impact at 70 eV.

Methyl and ethyl propiolate \(7\) and \(8\), and dimethyl and diethyl acetylenedicarboxylate \(9\) and \(10\) were commercial samples used without further purification, and methyl and ethyl phenylpropiolate \(6\) and \(2\) (\(R = \text{Ph}\)) were prepared by conversion of phenylpropionic acid into its chloride with thionyl chloride followed by reaction with methanol or ethanol.

2,2,2-Trideuterioethyl phenylpropiolate \((4)\). This was prepared by stirring a mixture of phenylpropynoyl chloride (0.5 g, 3 mmol) and 2,2,2-trideuterioethanol (0.30 g, 6 mmol) at room temperature for 2 h followed by kugelrohr distillation to give a yellow liquid (0.52 g, 96%), bp (oven temp.) 145–150 °C at 18 Torr; \(\nu_{\max} /\text{cm}^{-1}\) 3040, 2950, 2882, 2203 (C–D), 1700, 1610, 1480, 1438, 1370, 1280, 1180, 990, 750 and 680; \(\delta_H 7.7–7.3\) (5 H, m) and 4.22 (2 H, br s); \(\delta_D 1.32\) (s).

2,2,2-Trifluoroethyl phenylpropiolate \((5)\). A solution of phenylpropynoyl chloride (2.5 g, 15 mmol) and 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (3.0 g, 30 mmol) in dry ether was heated under reflux for 3 h. Evaporation followed by kugelrohr distillation gave the product (3.2 g, 92%) as a colourless liquid, bp (oven temp.) 125–130 °C at 16 Torr (Found: C, 57.4; H, 3.05; M\(^+\), 228.0401. C\(_{11}\)H\(_7\)F\(_3\)O\(_2\) requires C, 57.9; H, 3.1%; M\(^+\), 228.0398); \(\nu_{\max} /\text{cm}^{-1}\) 3050, 2960, 2212, 1720, 1608, 1486, 1442, 1405, 1300, 1265, 1160, 975, 840, 755, 740 and 686; \(\delta_H 7.65–7.55\) (2 H, m), 7.5–7.35 (3 H, m) and 4.59 (2 H, \(q, J 8\)); \(\delta_C 152.2\) (CO), 133.3 (2 C, Ph C-2), 131.3 (Ph C-4), 128.8 (2 C, Ph C-3), 122.7 (q, \(1J 277, \text{CF}_3\)), 119.0 (Ph C-1), 89.3 (–C≡), 79.2 (–C≡) and 61.2 (q, \(2J 37, \text{CH}_2\)); \(\delta_F –74.2\) (t, \(J 8\)); \(m/z\) 228 (M\(^+\), 25%), 209 (3), 165 (4), 129 (100), 102 (30) and 75 (27).

Ethyl methyl acetylenedicarboxylate \((11)\). This was prepared, as previously reported, by pyrolysis of 4-ethyl 1-methyl 2-oxo-3-triphenylphosphoranylidenebutanedioate \(12\) prepared by reaction of ethoxycarbonylmethylene–triphenylphosphorane with methyl oxalyl chloride in the presence of triethylamine. FVP of this ylide (1.10 g, 500 °C, \(2.2 \times 10^{-2}\) Torr, inlet 120 °C) gave ethyl methyl acetylenedicarboxylate \(11\) as a colourless oil (346 mg, 88%); \(\delta_H 4.29\) (2 H, \(q, J 7\)), 3.48 (3 H, s) and 1.33 (3 H, t, \(J 7\)); \(\delta_C 152.3, 151.7, 75.0, 74.2, 63.1, 53.5\) and 13.9.

Flash vacuum pyrolysis of acetylenic esters

The apparatus used was as described previously. All pyrolyses were conducted at pressures in the range \(10^{-2}–10^{-1}\) Torr. Under these conditions the contact time in the hot zone was estimated to be \(\approx 10\) ms. The conditions for each pyrolysis are quoted as (mass used, furnace temperature, mean pressure, inlet heating temperature). Products were identified by NMR comparison with authentic materials and the data are quoted only on the first occurrence. Yields were determined by calibration of the \(^1\)H NMR spectra by adding an accurately weighed quantity of a solvent such as CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) and comparing integrals, a procedure estimated to be accurate to \(\pm 10\%\). It should be noted that in this particular study many of the products observed were highly volatile and, while dissolution in CDCl\(_3\) while still frozen in the liquid nitrogen-cooled trap served to identify products such as methane (bp –162 °C), ethene (bp –104 °C), ethyne (bp –84 °C) and formaldehyde (bp –19...
°C), the yields measured by the time a standard could be added and the spectrum run were consistently underestimated.

**FVP of ethyl phenylpropionate (2)** (R = Ph). FVP of 2 (95 mg, 750 °C, 5 x 10^{-2} Torr, inlet 60 °C) gave phenylacetylene (70%); δH 7.55–7.45 (2 H, m), 7.4–7.3 (3 H, m) and 3.08 (1 H, s); δC 132.1 (2 C), 128.8, 128.3 (2 C), 122.1 (4ry), 83.7 (=C) and 77.2 (=CH), and a small proportion of ethene; δH 5.40. The identity of the last signal was supported by the observation that its intensity rapidly diminished with time.

**FVP of 2,2,2-trideuterioethyl phenylpropionate (4).** FVP of 4 (122 mg, 750 °C, 4 x 10^{-2} Torr, inlet 60 °C) gave phenylacetylene (15%) and phenyldeuterioacetylene, PhC≡CD (60%); δH 7.55–7.45 (2 H, m) and 7.4–7.3 (3 H, m); δD 3.14 (s); δC as above except for much reduced size of signal at 77.2 (=CD)

**FVP of 2,2,2-trifluoroethyl phenylpropionate (5).** FVP of 5 (115 mg, 750 °C, 5 x 10^{-2} Torr, inlet 60 °C) gave phenylacetylene (55%). Additional small signals indicated the presence of trifluoroacetaldehyde (2%); δH 9.45 (1 H, q, J 3), and its cyclic trimer, 2,4,6-tris(trifluoromethyl)-1,3,5-trioxane (4%); δH 5.02-4.88 (m) [authentic formaldehyde polymerised in CDCl3 to give δH 5.08-4.86 (m) and ethene.

**FVP of methyl phenylpropionate (6).** FVP of 6 (140 mg, 780 °C, 3.4 x 10^{-2} Torr, inlet RT) gave phenylacetylene (37%), unchanged methyl phenylpropionate 6 (13%), and styrene (4%); δH 7.67-7.25 (5 H, m), 6.68 (1 H, m), 5.73 (1 H, dd, J 20, 1) and 5.23 (1 H, dd, J 12, 1).

**FVP of methyl propionate (7).** FVP of 7 (120 mg, 830 °C, 3.8 x 10^{-2} Torr, inlet cooled with solid CO2/MeOH) gave acrolein (15%); δH 9.62–9.58 (1 H, m ) and 6.55-6.32 (3 H, m ); δC 194.5, 138.5 and 137.9, unchanged methyl propionate 7 (trace), formaldehyde (monomer); δH 9.73 (1 H, s) and (polymer); δH 5.02-4.88 (m) [authentic formaldehyde polymerised in CDCl3 to give δH 5.08-4.86 (m)] and ethene.

**FVP of butenolide (2,5-dihydrofuran-2-one) (19).** FVP of 19, prepared by a literature route,13 (69 mg) at 830 °C gave a liquid consisting almost entirely of acrolein (13%).

**FVP of ethyl propionate (8).** FVP of 8 (190 mg, 750 °C, 2 x 10^{-2} Torr, inlet RT) gave extremely volatile products in the cold trap consisting of acetaldehyde (2%); δH 9.79 (1 H, q, J 0.5) and 2.21 (3 H, d, J 0.5), ethene (0.5%), methane (0.4%); δH 0.23 and ethyne (2.1%); δH 1.80.

**FVP of dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (9).** FVP of 9 (90 mg, 830 °C, 4 x 10^{-2} Torr, inlet 70 °C) gave acrolein (68%), formaldehyde monomer and polymer, and ethene.

**FVP of diethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (10).** FVP of 10 (110 mg, 750 °C, 1.4 x 10^{-2} Torr, inlet 80 °C) gave extremely volatile products in the cold trap consisting of acetaldehyde (3.5%), ethene (2 %), and methane (0.5%) [all assuming two molecules produced per starting material] and ethyne (2.9%).

**FVP of ethyl methyl acetylenedicarboxylate (11).** FVP of 11 (42 mg, 760 °C, 1.4 x 10^{-2} Torr, inlet RT) gave acrolein (45%), and traces of methyl propionate 7, acetaldehyde and ethene.
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