A ‘click chemistry’ approach to the straightforward synthesis of new 4-aryl-1,2,3-triazolocarbanucleosides

Isabel Pérez-Castro, a Olga Caamaño, a Franco Fernández, a* Marcos D. García, b* Carmen López, a and Erik de Clercq c

 a Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultade de Farmacia, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, E-15782, Santiago de Compostela, Spain
 b Departamento de Química Fundamental, Universidade da Coruña, Campus da Zapateira, A Coruña, 15071, Spain
 c Rega Institute for Medical Research, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Minderbroedersstraat, B-3000, Leuven, Belgium
 E-mail: franco.fernandez@usc.es, mdgarcia@udc.es

Dedicated to Professor Benito Alcaide on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Abstract

The synthesis and biological evaluation as antiviral agents of a series of racemic 4-aryl-1,2,3-triazolyl carbanucleosides of type (±)-10/(±)-11 related to the broad spectrum antiviral agent ribavirin 1 are described. These compounds were produced using a “click chemistry” strategy starting from readily available protected alcohol 13b. The synthetic approach made use of olefin-based organic reactions for the stereoselective construction of the appropriately functionalized cyclopentane ring moiety followed by copper (I) catalyzed Huisgen 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of azides and alkynes for the regioselective construction of the heterocyclic triazole moiety.
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Introduction

Ribavirin (Virazole®, 1, Figure 1) is a broad spectrum antiviral in clinical use for the treatment of RSV infections, lassa fever, hepatitis (A, B, and C), measles and mumps. The structure of this nucleoside analogue consists in a β-D-ribose ring attached to a 1,2,4-triazole derivative replacing the classic purine or pyrimidine base as the aglycon. Other nucleoside analogues owning 5-membered heterocyclic bases, such as imidazoles and triazoles, have displayed interesting biological properties; for instance, brenedin (Mizoribine®, 2, Figure 1) is currently in clinical use...
as an immunosuppressor for the treatment of transplant patients\textsuperscript{2} and EICAR (3, Figure 1), causes depletion of purine nucleotides resulting in a broad spectrum of activity against RNA and DNA viruses and tumour cell proliferation.\textsuperscript{3}

In this context, a great number 1,2,3-triazole derivatives have shown a great potential as antiviral, antibacterial or antiproliferative agents,\textsuperscript{4} with 1,2,3-triazolyl nucleoside derivatives such as compounds 4\textsuperscript{5} and 5\textsuperscript{6} (Figure 1) exhibiting, respectively, interesting antiviral and cytostatic activities.

![Figure 1](image1)

**Figure 1.** Selected nucleoside analogues with imidazole or triazole bases owning remarkable biological activities.

Furthermore, we and others have recently reported several examples of 1,2,3-triazolyl carbanucleosides owning promising biological activities (Figure 2).\textsuperscript{7} For example, compound (±)-6 (R = 2-C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}OMe) exhibited specific inhibitory potential against TK\textsuperscript{+}VZV (EC\textsubscript{50} = 11 \textmu M),\textsuperscript{8} 7 was found to own moderate activity against HIV-1 (IC\textsubscript{50} = 43.8 \textmu M),\textsuperscript{9} and 8\textsuperscript{10} displayed a potent antiviral activity against vaccinia virus (EC\textsubscript{50} = 0.4 \textmu M).

![Figure 2](image2)

**Figure 2.** Recently reported 1,2,3-triazolyl carbanucleosides with antiviral activities.

In recent times we have started a research program devoted to the synthesis and biological evaluation as antiviral and antitumoral agents of carbanucleosides produced using, in some extent, the postulates of “click chemistry”. In our previous work,\textsuperscript{8} we reported on a series of racemic 4-aryl-1,2,3-triazolyl 2',3'-deoxy-2'-iodocarbanucleosides of type (±)-6 (Figure 2) and
4-aryl-1,2,3-triazolyl 2',3'-dideoxy-2',3'-didehydrocarbanucleosides of type (±)-9 (Figure 3). These triazolyl carbanucleosides, structurally related to ribavirin 1, were produced using a iodoazidation reaction as the key step for the stereoselective construction of the desired functionalized cyclopentane ring, followed by the regioselective assembly of the heterocyclic moiety by a Cu(I) catalysed Huisgen 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition.11

We present here our advances on the topic, reporting the synthesis and biological evaluation as antiviral agents of a series of 4-aryl-1,2,3-triazolyl 3'-deoxycarbanucleosides, of type (±)-10 and (±)-11 (Figure 3). These compounds were designed to explore the effect on the antiviral activities of a different pattern of substitution at position 2' of the carbocycle, as well as the modification of the relative stereochemical configuration of the base with regard to the hydroxymethyl group at position 4' of the pseudosugar.
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**Figure 3.** Previously 1,2,3-triazolyl carbanucleosides reported by our group (±)-9,8 and target compounds (±)-10/(±)-11.

### Results and Discussion

Even when the number of references related to the “click chemistry” topic is increasing exponentially since the seminal review by Kolb, Finn and Sharpless,12 the original postulates are (in most of the cases) restricted to the use of the ubiquitous Cu(I) catalysed Huisgen’s 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition.11 In a recent review by Moorhouse and Moses,13 intelligently entitled: *Click Chemistry and Medicinal Chemistry: A Case of “Cyclo-Addiction”*, the authors stated: *It is important to remember that “click chemistry” was originated before the evolution of the Cu(I) catalyst modification of the Huisgen cycloaddition, and that there are other examples of reactions that meet the “click chemistry” criteria, including mainly olefin based reactions.*

Taking this considerations into account, we felt that our strategy for the rapid synthesis of carbanucleoside derivatives could not be only restricted to the use of the Huisgen cycloaddition for the construction of the heterocyclic base. For this reason, the designed strategy (Scheme 1) for the synthesis of our target compounds was intended to use olefin-based reactions as the key steps for the synthesis of the corresponding pseudosugar scaffold.
Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis for the synthesis of target compounds (±)-10/(±)-11.

As shown in Scheme 1, the synthesis of target compounds (±)-10/(±)-11 could be tackled by epoxidation of readily available protected derivatives of cyclopent-3-enylmethanol of general structure 13, leading to a mixture of epimers 14 that, upon nucleophilic ring opening of the oxirane ring, would lead to a mixture of stereoisomers (±)-15. Construction of the heterocyclic base using Cu(I) catalysed Huisgen 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition, 11 and further deprotection of the corresponding hydroxymethyl group, would lead to the target compounds. This synthetic plan would enable us to prepare several racemic 1,2,3-triazolocarbanucleoside derivatives differing in the relative stereochemical configuration of the functional groups attached to positions 1’, 2’ and 4’ in the cyclopentane ring, with derivatives of type (±)-10 having a cis relationship between the base and the hydroxymethyl group at position 4’ (the same relative configuration of natural nucleosides), and derivatives of type (±)-11, having a trans relationship between the above mentioned substituents.

Starting from readily available known alkenes 13a 15 and 13b 8 (Scheme 2), epoxidation of 13a using MCPBA led, after purification by flash column chromatography, to a mixture of cis/trans epimers 14a/b in a 1:8 ratio as previously reported, 14,15 with an improved yield of 91% (69% in the original paper). 15 The reaction of 13b with MCPBA produced, after chromatographic purification, an 81% yield of a mixture of cis/trans epimers 14c/d in a 1:3.8 ratio (by 1H-NMR). 16 In both cases, attempts of separation of the mixtures were unsuccessful, leading only to partial resolution of epimers after successive flash column cromatographies.

Scheme 2. Epoxidation of alkenes 13 and nucleophilic ring opening with sodium azide of the corresponding epoxides of type 14.
Concerning the nucleophilic ring opening of the resulting epoxides 14a/b and 14c/d, we selected the reaction conditions developed by Crotti et al.\textsuperscript{17} that produced mixtures of racemic monoprotected azidoalcohols (±)-15a/b in a 86% yield and (±)-15c/d in a 94% yield (Scheme 2). Resolution of those by flash column chromatography was much easier than for the mixtures of epimeric epoxides 14a/b and 14c/d (see experimental section), so the needed separation of the corresponding isomers was performed at this stage of the synthetic route.

Since we wished to evaluate the effect on the biological activities of the relative configuration of the base and the hydroxymethyl group on position 4’ of the cyclopentane ring of target compounds (±)-10/(±)-11, we consequently decided to follow the synthetic plan using the TBDPS diastereomeric precursors ((±)-15c/d), as they could be produced in more equitable quantities than their TBDMS ((±)-15a/b) counterparts.

Construction of the desired 4-aryl-1,2,3-triazole moiety was achieved (Scheme 3 and Table 1) using Cu(I) catalysed Huisgen’s 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition.\textsuperscript{11,18} As expected, this methodology produced only one of the two possible regioisomers ((±)-16a-c/(±)-17a-c). Using large amounts of DIPEA and excess Cul (Method B) the reaction yielded the corresponding 1,2,3-triazole derivatives with good yields (entries 2, 5-7; Table 1). On the contrary, differing from our previous results,\textsuperscript{8} only moderate yields of the desired products (±)-16a-c (entries 1, 3, 4; Table 1) were obtained using 2 equivalents of the base and a catalytic amount of Cul (Method A). In addition, in most of the cases (entries 1-6, Table 1), a small amount of the corresponding triazole iodinated at position 5 of the heterocycle was isolated ((±)-18a-c/(±)-19a-b).\textsuperscript{19} Deprotection of the silyl protecting group using TBAF generated the target compounds (±)-10a-c/(±)-11a-c in good yields (74-99%).
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**Scheme 3.** Cu(I) catalysed Huisgen’s 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of azides ((±)15c/d with terminal aryl alkynes (see Table 1 for details) and deprotection of the TBDPS protecting group leading to target compounds (±)-10a-c/(±)-11a-c.
Table 1. Synthesis of 4-aryl-1,2,3-triazoles (±)-16a-c and (±)-17a-c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Ar</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>t (h)</th>
<th>Products (% yield) b,c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(±)-15c</td>
<td>C6H5</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>(±)-16a (30) + (±)-18a (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(±)-15c</td>
<td>C6H5</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>reflux</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>(±)-16a (72) + (±)-18a (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(±)-15c</td>
<td>4-C6H4Me</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>(±)-16b (42) + (±)-18b (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(±)-15c</td>
<td>4-C6H4OMe</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>(±)-16c (23) + (±)-18c (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(±)-15d</td>
<td>C6H5</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>reflux</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>(±)-17a (64) + (±)-19a (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(±)-15d</td>
<td>4-C6H4Me</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>reflux</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>(±)-17b (82) + (±)-19b (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(±)-15d</td>
<td>4-C6H4OMe</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>reflux</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>(±)-17c (68)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aMethod A: DIPEA (2 eq.), CuI (0.05-0.1 eq). Method B: DIPEA (50 eq.), CuI (1-2 eq.). bIsolated yields after flash column chromatography. cFor entries 3 and 4, a 42 % of the starting material (±)-15c was also recovered.

X-ray analysis of a single crystal of the intermediate (±)-16a unequivocally confirmed its relative configuration (Figure 4) and, consequently, that of its precursor, (±)-15c, as well as indirectly those of their respective epimers, (±)-17a and (±)-15d, and those of the compounds obtained from each epimeric intermediate, notably both series of target compounds (±)-10a-c/(±)-11a-c.

Figure 4. Mercury ellipsoid projection (50% probability) of the molecular structure of compound (±)-16a, with a random numbering scheme. Hydrogen atoms, except those involved in the relative stereochemical configuration (H1, H3 and H4), are omitted for clarity.20

Target compounds ((±)-10a-c, (±)-11a-c), as well as the monoprotected intermediates (±)-16a-c, and (±)-18a/c, were evaluated for their inhibitory activities against: parainfluenza virus-3, reovirus-1, Sindbis, Coxsackie B4, and Punta Toro virus in Vero cell cultures; herpes simplex virus type 1 (strain KOS), herpes simplex virus type 1 (TK− KOS ACV), herpes simplex virus type 2 (strain G), vaccinia virus, and vesicular stomatitis virus in human embryonic lung (HEL).
cells; and vesicular stomatitis virus, respiratory syncytial virus and coxsackie B4 virus in human epithelial (HeLa) cells. These activities were compared with those of acyclovir, gancyclovir, brivudin, (S)-DPHA, and ribavirin. Furthermore, the inhibitory activities of \(\text{(-)}-10\text{a-c, (-)}-11\text{a-c, (-)}-16\text{b-c, and (-)}-18\text{c}\) against Feline Corona and Feline Herpes viruses in Crandell–Rees feline kidney (CRFK) cells were measured, and the obtained activities compared with those of HHA, UDC and ganciclovir.

Compounds \(\text{(-)}-10\text{a-c, (-)}-11\text{a-c, (-)}-16\text{a-c, and (-)}-18\text{a/c}\) were also evaluated for its inhibitory activities against Cytomegalovirus (CMV Davis strain) in HEL cell lines, and the results contrasted with those of ganciclovir and cidofovir. Likewise, these compounds were also evaluated for their inhibitory activities against influenza viruses (Influenza A (H1N1/ H3N2 subtypes) and Influenza B) in MDCK cell lines, and the results compared with those of oseltamivir carboxylate and ribavirin.

In all the cases, these 1,2,3-triazolocarbanucleosides did not show any specific antiviral effects (i.e. minimal antiviral effective concentration \(\leq\) 5-fold lower than the minimal cytotoxic concentration for the host cell) against any of the viruses in the assay systems used.

More promising results were obtained in the antiviral evaluation of the silylated derivatives \(\text{(-)}-16\text{a-c and (-)}-18\text{a/c}\) against varicella-zoster virus (TK\(^{+}\)VZV, thymidine kinase positive strain, and TK\(^{-}\)VZV, thymidine kinase deficient strain) in human embryonic lung (HEL) cells. Even when, strictly speaking, no specific antiviral effects were noted, if the data for the TK\(^{+}\)VZV (OKA strain) are analysed in more detail, derivative \(18\text{a}\) can be interpreted as specifically antivirally active, if based on a comparison of its EC\(_{50}\) = 5.3 \(\mu\)M with its MCC > 160 \(\mu\)M.\(^{21}\)

**Experimental Section**

**General Procedures.** All chemicals used were of reagent grade, obtained from Aldrich Chemical Co. and used without further purification. Starting materials \(13\text{a/b}\) were prepared following previously reported methods.\(^{8,15}\) Melting points were measured in a Reichert Kofler Thermopan and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra were recorded in a Perkin-Elmer 1640 FTIR spectrophotometer. \(^1\)H and \(^13\)C NMR spectra were recorded in a Bruker AMX 300 spectrometer at 300 and 75.47 MHz, respectively, using TMS as internal standard (chemical shifts in \(\delta\) values, \(J\) in Hz). Mass spectra were recorded on a Micromass Autoespec (EI and HRMS) and on a Bruker Microtof (ESI-TOF) spectrometers. Microanalyses were performed in a Perkin-Elmer 240B Elemental Analyser at the University of Santiago Microanalysis Service. Analyses indicated by the symbols of elements were within \(\pm 0.4\)% of the theoretical values. Flash chromatography was performed on silica gel (Merck 60, 230–240 mesh) and analytical TLC on pre-coated silica gel plates (Merck 60 F254, 0.25 mm).

**X-Ray crystal structure determination.** Single crystals of \(\text{(+)}-16\text{a}\) suitable for X-ray diffractometry were obtained by dissolving crystals of the already purified material in the
minimum quantity of cold THF in an open vial that was then placed in a larger container with a little hexane in its bottom; the container was closed, and after a few days in a cool, dark place free from vibrations afforded the desired single crystals. This were mounted in an inert oil and transferred to the cold gas stream of the diffractometer. Empirical formula: C$_{30}$H$_{35}$N$_3$O$_2$Si; formula weight: 497.70; 0.45 × 0.23 × 0.10 mm$^3$; crystal colour: colourless; habit: prismatic; crystal system: triclinic; lattice type: plate; lattice parameters: $a = 7.4396$(17) Å, $b = 9.728$(2) Å, $c = 20.073$(5) Å, $\alpha = 89.570$(4)$^\circ$, $\beta = 84.621$(4)$^\circ$, $\gamma = 72.628$(4)$^\circ$, $V = 1380.0(5)$ Å$^3$; space group: P-1; Z = 2; Dcalc = 1.198 Mg/m$^3$; $R_1 = 0.0459$, $wR_2 = 0.1109$. Diffractometer: Smart-1000 BRUKER.$^{20}$

**Epoxidation of tert-butyldimethylsilyl (cyclopent-3-enyl)methyl ether (13a) and tert-butyldiphenylsilyl (cyclopent-3-enyl)methyl ether (13b).** A solution of the corresponding alkene $^{13}$a or $^{13}$b (1 mmol) in dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (5 mL) was slowly added to a well stirred suspension of MCPBA (1.2 mmol) in dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (5 mL). The reaction mixture was then heated at reflux until complete disappearance of the starting material was detected by TLC. The solution was then cooled to 0ºC, the solid in suspension filtered and washed with a small amount of cold CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The filtrate was washed with a 10% aqueous solution of Na$_2$SO$_3$ (10 mL), a 10% aqueous solution of NaHCO$_3$ (10 mL) and brine (10 mL). The organic layer was dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$) and the solvent concentrated under reduced pressure. The corresponding residue was purified by flash column chromatography (see below for further details).

**cis-tert-Butyldimethylsilyl (3,4-epoxycyclopentyl)methyl ether 14a and trans-tert-butyldimethylsilyl (3,4-epoxycyclopentyl)methyl ether (14b).** A first flash column chromatography of the crude reaction product using CH$_2$Cl$_2$ as eluent afforded the pure mixture of the epoxides 14a/b in a 91% yield, an increase of a 24% in the previously reported yield.$^{14,15}$ A second column chromatography using hexane-CH$_2$Cl$_2$ 1:1 as eluent afforded successively 14a (9%), 14a+14b (60%) and 14b (31%). The physical data for 14a/b were coincident with those reported in the bibliography.$^{15}$

**cis-tert-Butyldiphenylsilyl (3,4-epoxycyclopentyl)methyl ether (14c) and trans-tert-butyldiphenylsilyl (3,4-epoxycyclopentyl)methyl ether (14d).** The crude reaction mixture was chromatographed (silica gel, hexane–EtOAc 30:1 as eluent). The non-void fractions afforded the pure mixture of epoxides 14c/d in a 81% yield. Iterative recrystallization (hexane–EtOAc 10:1) of 14c or 14d-enriched fractions obtained after the above mentioned chromatography allow us to isolate pure samples of the isomers that were used for the physical characterization of the compounds. 14c. Low melting point white solid. IR (KBr) v: 3068, 3029, 2951, 2928, 2856, 1429, 1376, 1113, 1016, 997, 841, 828, 790, 744, 704, 688, 616, 506 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 7.68-7.65 (m, 4H); 7.43-7.35 (m, 6H); 3.52 (d, 2H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, OCH$_2$); 3.46 (virtual s, 2H, 3-H + 4-H); 2.39-2.28 (m, 1H); 1.93 (dd, 2H, $J = 14.9$, 1.8 Hz, 5-C/H + 2-CHH); 1.80 (dd, 2H, $J = 14.9$, 9.1 Hz, 5-CHH + 2-CHH); 1.07 [s, 9H, C(CH$_3$)$_3$] ppm. MS (EI m/z): 296 [(M+1)-(tert-Bu), 3], 295 [(M) (tert-Bu), 13], 265 (17), 247 (17), 217 (13), 200 (18), 199 (100), 197 (13), 187 (15), 183 (17), 181 (21), 139 (23), 135 (13), 104 (17), 91 (10), 77 (20), 57 (20).
**14d.** Low melting point white solid. IR (KBr) ν: 3047, 2927, 2886, 2855, 1427, 1114, 839, 822, 742, 701, 609 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\)) δ: 7.66-7.63 (m, 4H); 7.43-7.35 (m, 6H); 3.60 (d, 2H, \(J = 5.0\) Hz, OCH\(_2\)); 3.48 (virtual s, 2H, 3-H + 4-H); 2.15-2.04 (m, 3H); 1.56-1.49 (m, 2H); 1.05 [s, 9H, C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)] ppm. 13C NMR/DEPT (CDCl\(_3\)) δ: 136.0 (CH), 134.2 (C), 130.0 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 66.1 (CH\(_2\)), 57.6 (CH), 35.7 (CH), 31.1 (CH\(_2\)), 27.2 [C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)], 19.7 [C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)], ppm. MS (EI m/z): 352 (M, 1), 296 (18), 295 (73), 199 (100), 197 (20), 187 (28), 183 (31), 181 (55), 169 (20), 161 (16), 139 (43), 123 (17), 117 (21), 105 (21), 57 (21).

**Monoprotected azidodiols (±)-15a/b and (±)-15c/d.** LiClO\(_4\) (25 mmol) and NaN\(_3\) (5 mmol) were added to a solution of the corresponding mixture of epoxides 14a or 14b (1 mmol) in dry CH\(_3\)CN (10 mL) under Ar atmosphere. The subsequent mixture was stirred at 75ºC for 78 hours. Once the reaction was complete, the mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with water 50 mL and extracted with ether (3 x 25 mL). The organic layer was dried (Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\)) and the solvents evaporated under reduced pressure yielding an oily residue that was purified by flash column chromatography (see below for further details).

(±)-t-2-Azido-c-4-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)methyl)cyclopentan-r-1-ol ((±)-15a) and (±)-t-2-azido-t-4-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)methyl)cyclopentan-r-1-ol ((±)-15b). The crude mixture of isomers (obtained in 86% yield) was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using hexane–EtOAc 15:1 as eluent to give, successively, (±)-15a (24%) in a first group of fractions and a mixture of (±)-15a/b (10%) in a second group. A third group of fractions, eluted with hexane–EtOAc 10:1, afforded (±)-15b (66%).

(±)-15a. Colourless oil. IR (film) ν: 3423, 2931, 2857, 2103, 1466, 1255, 1093, 1033, 836, 778 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\)) δ: 3.94-3.92 (m, 1H, 1-H); 3.83-3.81 (m, 1H, 2-H); 3.62-3.54 (m, 3H); 2.50-2.39 (m, 1H); 2.26-2.16 (m, 1H); 1.95-1.91 (m, 1H); 1.87-1.83 (m, 1H); 1.54-1.49 (m, 1H); 0.91 [s, 9H, C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)]; 0.09 [s, 6H, Si(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)] ppm. \(^1\)C NMR/DEPT (CDCl\(_3\)) δ: 76.3 (CH), 69.5 (CH), 66.0 (CH\(_2\)), 37.2 (CH), 35.8 (CH\(_2\)), 30.6 (CH\(_2\)), 26.3 [C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)], 18.8 [C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)], -5.1 [Si(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)], -5.2 [Si(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)] ppm. MS (EI m/z): 272 (M +1, 1), 214 (38), 186 (49), 171 (63), 168 (49), 157 (27), 156 (22), 143 (38), 142 (38), 141 (26), 138 (20), 130 (30), 129 (24), 128 (35), 118 (26), 115 (70), 112 (43), 105 (30), 100 (37), 99 (30), 97 (63), 94 (90), 90 (59), 85 (30), 79 (35), 77 (32), 73 (100). HRMS m/z calcd for C\(_{12}\)H\(_{25}\)N\(_3\)O\(_2\)Si, 271.1716; found, 271.1735.

(±)-15b. Colourless oil. IR (film) ν: 3383, 2929, 2857, 2104, 1472, 1256, 1090, 1005, 837, 776 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\)) δ: 4.10-4.03 (m, 1H, 1-H); 4.09 (d, 2H, \(J = 6.0\) Hz, OCH\(_2\)); 2.38-2.39 (m, 1H, 4-H); 2.21-2.06 (m, 1H); 1.86-1.62 (m, 3H); 1.50-1.40 (m, 1H); 0.89 [s, 9H, C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)]; 0.04 [s, 6H, Si(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)] ppm. \(^1\)C NMR/DEPT (CDCl\(_3\)) δ: 77.9 (CH), 68.8 (CH), 66.8 (CH\(_2\)), 37.0 (CH), 35.2 (CH\(_2\)), 31.9 (CH\(_2\)), 26.3 [C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)], 18.7 [C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)], -5.0 [Si(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)] ppm. Most of this physical data are coincident with the previously reported. MS (EI m/z): 214 [M-(tert-Bu), 1], 166 (8), 115 (10), 105 (50), 99 (8), 94 (38), 93 (11), 89 (11), 80 (25), 77 (13), 76 (10), 75 (100), 73 (28), 67 (47). HRMS m/z calcd for C\(_{12}\)H\(_{25}\)N\(_3\)O\(_2\)Si, 271.1716; found, 271.1738.
(±)-t-2-Azido-c-4-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyloxy)methyl)cyclopentan-r-1-ol ((±)-15c) and (±)-t-2-
azo-c-4-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyloxy)methyl)cyclopentan-r-1-ol ((±)-15d). Partial resolution of
the crude mixture of isomers (obtained in 94% yield) was achieved by column chromatography
on silica gel using CH2Cl2 as eluent to give, successively, (±)-15d (58%) in the first group of
fractions, a mixture of (±)-15c/d (17%) in the second group and (±)-15c (25%) in the last group
of fractions.

(±)-15c. Colourless oil. IR (film) ν: 3404, 2934, 2879, 2859, 2102, 1428, 1255, 1110, 1029, 821,
741, 702, 611 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl3) δ: 7.69-7.66 (m, 4H); 7.45-7.37 (m, 6H); 4.09 (dd, 1H,
J = 12.6, 6.0 Hz, 1-H); 3.70 (dd, 1H, J = 13.7, 7.5 Hz, 2-H); 3.57 (d, 2H, J = 5.9 Hz, PhCH2); 2.46-
2.36 (m, 1H); 2.21 (dd, 1H, J = 13.3, 7.5 Hz); 2.08 (virtual s, 1H, D₂O exchangeable, OH); 1.87
(q, 1 H, J = 6.9 Hz); 1.76-1.67 (m, 1H); 1.52 (dt, 1H, J = 13.3, 8.3 Hz); 1.08 [s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃] ppm.¹³C NMR/ DEPT (CDCl₃) δ: 136.0 (CH), 134.1 (C), 134.1 (C), 130.1 (CH), 128.1 (CH),
77.3 (CH), 68.9 (CH), 67.5 (CH₂), 37.1 (CH), 35.2 (CH₂), 32.1 (CH₂), 27.3 [C(C(CH₃)₃)], 19.7
[C(CH₃)₃] ppm. MS (El m/z): 338 [M-(tert-Bu), 14], 232 (31), 200 (18), 199 (100), 197 (17), 183
(21), 181 (26), 176 (11), 161 (16), 139 (10), 135 (11), 105 (17), 77 (11), 57 (22). HRMS m/z calcd for C₂₂H₂₉N₃O₂Si, 395.2029; found, 395.2011.

(±)-15d. Colourless oil. IR (film) ν: 3404, 3069, 2934, 2879, 2859, 2102, 1428, 1110, 702 cm⁻¹.
¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 7.69-7.66 (m, 4H); 7.46-7.38 (m, 6H); 4.03 (virtual s, 1H, 1-H); 3.84 (q,
J = 3.2 Hz); 3.61 and 3.56 (AB part, ABM system, 2H, J = 10.0, 4.0 Hz, PhCH₂); 3.14-3.12
(m, 1H, D₂O exchangeable, OH); 2.45-2.35 (m, 1H); 2.27-2.17 (m, 1H); 2.11-2.02 (m, 1H);
1.87-1.78 (m, 1H); 1.59 (dt, 1H, J = 13.8, 4.3 Hz); 1.09 [s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃] ppm. ¹³C NMR/DEPT (CDCl₃) δ: 136.1 (CH), 136.0 (CH), 133.3 (C), 133.2 (C), 130.3 (CH), 130.3 (CH), 128.2 (CH),
76.8 (CH), 69.1 (CH), 67.1 (CH₂), 37.1 (CH), 35.8 (CH₂), 31.0 (CH₂), 27.3 [C(C(CH₃)₃)], 19.6
[C(CH₃)₃] ppm. MS (El m/z): 338 [M-(tert-Bu), 3], 201 (5), 200 (18), 199 (100), 197 (8), 183
(7), 181 (12), 161 (6), 139 (12), 135 (9), 105 (10), 94 (21), 77 (12), 67 (26), 58 (10), 57 (7).
HRMS m/z calcd for C₂₂H₂₉N₃O₂Si, 395.2029; found, 395.2047.

(±)-t-4-(tert-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy)methyl)-t-2-(4-aryl-1H,1,2,3-triazol-1-yl-r-1-
cyclopentanols (±)-16a-c and (±)-c-4-(tert-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy)methyl)-t-2-(4-aryl-1H-
1,2,3-triazol-1-yl-r-1-cyclopentanols (±)-17a-c.

Method A. A suspension of the corresponding monoprotected azidodiol (±)-15c/d (1 mmol),
aryl alkyne (2 mmol), a catalytic amount of Cul (0.05–0.1 mmol) and DIPEA (2 mmol) in dry
THF (50 mL) was stirred under the conditions specified in Table 1. The reactions were monitored
by TLC until the complete disappearance of the starting material. The yellow solid in suspension
was then filtered, the solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the organic layer was
dried (Na₂SO₄) and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The obtained residue was purified by column
chromatography on silica gel (see below for further details).

Method B. A suspension of the corresponding monoprotected azidodiol (±)-15c/d (1 mmol), aryl
alkyne (2 mmol), Cul (1–2 mmol) and DIPEA (50 mmol) in dry THF (50 mL) was stirred under
the conditions specified in Table 1. The reactions were monitored by TLC and the work-up carried out in the same manner as for Method A.

(±)-c-4-(tert-Butyldiphenylsilyloxymethyl)-t-2-(4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-r-1-cyclopentanol ((±)-16a) and (±)-c-4-(tert-butyltriphenylsilyloxymethyl)-t-2-(4-phenyl-5-iodo-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-r-1-cyclopentanol ((±)-18a).

**Method A.** The non-void fractions eluted successively with hexane–EtOAc 7:1 and 4:1 afforded successively (±)-16a (13%) and (±)-16a (30%).

**Method B.** The non-void fractions eluting with hexane–EtOAc 7:1 and 4:1 afforded (±)-18a (3%) and (±)-16a (72%) as a white solid that presented identical spectroscopic features to that using Method A.

(±)-16a. White solid, m.p. = 102-103 °C (recrystallised from THF-hexane). IR (KBr) ν: 3279, 3138, 3069, 2857, 1424, 1109, 1075, 699 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl3) δ: 7.80 (dd, 2H, J = 6.9, 1.5 Hz, 2H); 7.72 (s, 1H, 5-Htriazole); 7.69 (dd, 4H, J = 6.5, 1.2 Hz, 4H); 7.49-7.35 (m, 9H); 4.68-4.63 (m, 1H, 1-H); 4.51 (q, 1H, J = 6.1 Hz, 2-H); 3.67 (d, 1H, J = 5.7 Hz, D₂O exchangeable, OH); 2.63-2.59 (m, 1H); 2.34 (virtual, 2H, J = 2.2 Hz, 3-H₂); 2.23-2.20 (m, 1H); 1.79-1.71 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR/DEPT (CDCl3) δ: 147.4 (C), 135.6 (CH), 133.1 (C), 130.5 (C), 129.9 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 128.1 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 125.6 (CH), 119.3 (CH), 77.5 (CH). Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₃₅N₃O₂Si (497.70): C, 72.40; H, 7.09; N, 8.44. Found: C, 72.76; H, 7.32; N, 8.51.

(±)-18a. White solid, m.p. = 188-190 °C (recrystallised from EtOAc-hexane). IR (KBr) ν: 3391, 2929, 2855, 1425, 1327, 1107, 1006, 798, 699 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl3) δ: 7.91-7.89 (m, 2H); 7.69-7.66 (m, 4H); 7.46-7.23 (m, 9H); 4.84-4.81 (m, 1H); 2.77-2.69 (m, 1H); 2.45-2.31 (m, 1H); 1.75 (dt, 1H, J = 13.7, 5.7 Hz, 5-HH); 1.10 [9H, C(CH₃)₃] ppm. ¹³C NMR/DEPT (CDCl3) δ: 135.6 (CH), 132.8 (C), 129.9 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 127.5 (CH), 76.8 (CH), 69.0 (CH), 66.7 (CH₂), 37.1 (CH), 35.1 (CH₂), 31.7 (CH₂), 26.9 ppm. Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₃₄IN₃O₂Si (623.60): C, 57.78; H, 5.50; N, 6.74. Found: C, 58.07; H, 5.35; N, 6.99.

(±)-c-4-(tert-Butyldiphenylsilyloxymethyl)-t-2-[4-(4-methylphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]-r-1-cyclopentanol ((±)-16b) and (±)-c-4-(tert-butyltriphenylsilyloxymethyl)-t-2-[4-(4-methylphenyl)-5-iodo-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]-r-1-cyclopentanol ((±)-18b).

**Method A.** The non-void frations eluted with hexane–EtOAc 5:1 afforded successively unaltered (±)-15c (42%), (±)-18b (4%) and (±)-16b (42%).

(±)-16b. White solid, m.p. = 126-127 °C. IR (KBr) ν: 3236, 2930, 2856, 1464, 1428, 1112, 1081, 802, 701 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl3) δ: 7.69-7.63 (m, 6H); 7.64 (s, 1H, 5-Htriazole); 7.45-7.36 (m, 6H); 7.20-7.19 (m, 2H); 4.65-4.60 (m, 1H, 2-H); 4.50-4.47 (m, 1H, 1-H); 3.65-3.61 (m, 3H, simplified to a duplet once treated with D₂O, J = 4.0 Hz, OCH₂ + OH); 2.59-2.56 (m, 1H); 2.36 (s, 3H, CH₃); 2.34-2.26 (m, 3H); 1.70 (dt, 1H, J = 13.4, 7.0 Hz, 5-HH); 1.07 [9H, s, C(CH₃)₃] ppm. ¹³C NMR/DEPT (CDCl3) δ: 147.5 (C), 138.0 (C), 135.6 (CH), 135.6 (CH), 133.1 (C), 133.1 (C), 129.9 (CH), 129.5 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 127.6 (C), 125.5 (CH), 118.9 (CH), 77.4 (CH),...
67.7 (CH), 66.8 (CH2), 36.4 (CH), 34.9 (CH2), 31.2 (CH2), 26.9 [C(CH3)], 21.3 (CH3), 19.3 (C) ppm. MS (EI m/z): 455 (16), 454 (45), 295 (47), 265 (34), 217 (30), 200 (19), 199 (100), 160 (21), 139 (18), 135 (22), 130 (25). Anal. Calcd for C31H37N3O2Si (511.26): C, 72.76; H, 7.29; N, 8.21. Found: C, 73.11; H, 7.44; N, 8.38.

(±)-18b. White solid, m.p. = 153-154 °C. IR (KBr) ν: 3323, 2927, 2855, 1109, 819, 701 cm⁻¹. 1H NMR (CDCl3) δ: 7.80-7.77 (m, 2H); 7.69-7.66 (m, 4H); 7.46-7.37 (m, 6H); 7.23-7.22 (m, 2H); 4.81 (dt, 1H, J = 7.8, 4.7 Hz, 2-H); 4.65-4.62 (m, 1H, 1-H); 3.65 (d, 2H, J = 4.1 Hz, OCH2); 2.74-2.71 (m, 1H); 2.45-2.40 (m, 1H); 2.38 (s, 3H, CH3); 2.37-2.31 (m, 3H, one of them D2O exchangeable, OH); 1.75 (dt, 1H, J = 13.8, 5.8 Hz, 5-H); 1.09 [s, 9H, C(CH3)3] ppm. 13C NMR/DEPT (CDCl3) δ: 149.7 (C), 138.4 (C), 135.7 (CH), 132.9 (C), 129.9 (CH), 129.2 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 127.5 (CH), 69.0 (CH), 66.7 (CH2), 37.1 (CH), 35.1 (CH2), 31.9 (CH2), 26.9 [C(CH3)], 21.3 (CH3), 19.3 (C) ppm. MS (EI m/z): 580 (15), 208 (19), 199 (100), 181 (21), 139 (21), 135 (40), 129 (19). Anal. Calcd for C30H34N3O2Si (497.70): C, 57.78; H, 5.50; N, 6.74. Found: C, 58.11; H, 5.82; N, 6.63.

(±)-16c. Method A. The non-void fractions eluted with hexane–EtOAc 5:1 afforded successively unaltered (±)-15c (42%) and (±)-18c (11%). A second group of fractions eluted using hexane–EtOAc 3:1 afforded, after evaporation under reduced pressure of the solvents, (±)-16c (23%).

(±)-16c. Yellowish oil. IR (film) ν: 2925, 2856, 1616, 1460, 1376, 1255, 1106, 703 cm⁻¹. 1H NMR (CDCl3) δ: 7.70-7.67 (m, 6H); 7.61 (s, 1H, 5-H triazole); 7.48-7.36 (m, 6H); 6.93 (virtual d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz); 4.67-4.60 (m, 1H, 1-H); 4.52-4.50 (m, 1H); 3.84 (s, 3H, OCH3); 3.81-3.80 (m, 1H, D2O exchangeable); 3.66 (d, 2H, J = 4.4 Hz, OCH2); 2.60-2.57 (m, 1H); 2.39-2.27 (m, 3H); 1.79-1.64 (m, 1H); 1.09 [s, 9H, C(CH3)3] ppm. 13C NMR/DEPT (CDCl3) δ (ppm): 159.5 (C), 147.0 (C), 135.6 (CH), 133.1 (C), 129.8 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 127.4 (C), 126.9 (CH), 123.1 (C), 118.6 (CH), 114.2 (CH), 77.4 (CH), 67.8 (CH), 66.9 (CH2), 55.3 (OCH3), 36.1 (CH), 34.8 C(CH2), 31.3 (CH2), 26.9 [C(CH3)], 19.3 (C) ppm. MS (ESI-TOF m/z %): 528.27 (M+1, 100). HRMS: calcd for C30H34N3O3Si, 527.2604; found 527.2622.

(±)-18c. White solid, m.p. = 167-168 °C. IR (KBr) ν: 3366, 2929, 2857, 1477, 1245, 1006, 834, 703 cm⁻¹. 1H NMR (CDCl3) δ: 7.87-7.84 (d, 2H, J = 8.8 Hz); 7.69-7.66 (m, 6H); 7.48-7.36 (m, 6H); 6.93 (virtual d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz); 4.85-4.83 (m, 1H, 2-H); 4.66-4.64 (m, 1H, 1-H); 3.86 (s, 3H, OCH3); 3.67 (d, 2H, J = 3.8 Hz, CH2O); 3.42 (d, 1H, D2O exchangeable, J = 7.3 Hz, OH); 2.79-2.77 (m, 1H); 2.47-2.27 (m, 3H); 1.80-1.76 (m, 1H); 1.11 [s, 9H, C(CH3)3] ppm. 13C NMR/DEPT (CDCl3) δ: 159.8 (C), 149.6 (C), 135.7 (CH), 132.8 (C), 129.9 (CH), 128.9 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 122.78 (C), 113.9 (CH), 76.8 (CH), 69.0 (CH), 66.7 (CH2), 55.3 (OCH3), 37.1 (CH), 35.1 (CH2), 31.8 (CH2), 27.0 [C(CH3)], 19.3 (C) ppm. Anal. Calcd for C31H36IN3O3Si (653.63): C, 56.96; H, 5.55; N, 6.43. Found: C, 57.21; H, 5.86; N, 6.57.
(±)-t-4-(tert-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy)methyl)-t-2-(4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-r-1-cyclopentanol ((±)-17a) and (±)-t-4-(tert-butyldiphenylsilloylomethyl)-t-2-(4-phenyl-5-iodo-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-r-1-cyclopentanol ((±)-19a).

Method B. The non-void fractions eluted with hexane–EtOAc 5:1 afforded (±)-19a (5%). A second group of fractions eluted using hexane–EtOAc 2:1 afforded, after evaporation of the solvents under reduced pressure, (±)-17a (64%).

(±)-17a. Crystalline white solid, m.p. = 110-112 ºC (chromatographically purified product washed with acetone). IR (KBr) ν: 3240, 2859, 1461, 1429, 1383, 1114, 1077, 1004, 771, 700 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 7.79-7.75 (dd, 2H, J = 6.9, 1.4 Hz, 2H); 7.73 (s, 1H, 5-H triazole); 7.67-7.64 (m, 4H); 7.46-7.31 (m, 9H); 4.64-4.56 (m, 2H); 3.66 (dd, 2H, J = 5.4, 1.5 Hz, OCH₂); 3.03 (s, 1H, D₂O exchangeable, OH); 2.56-2.44 (m, 2H); 2.16-2.02 (m, 2H); 1.96-1.89 (m, 1H); 1.10 [s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃] ppm. ¹³C NMR/DEPT (CDCl₃) δ: 146.9 (C), 135.5 (CH), 133.5 (C) 130.2 (C), 129.7 (CH), 128.7 (CH), 128.1 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 125.5 (CH), 119.1 (CH), 76.5 (CH), 68.6 (CH), 67.0 (CH₂), 36.3 (CH), 34.1 (CH₂), 32.9 (CH₂), 26.9 [C(CH₃)₃] ppm. MS (EI m/z): 441 (30), 440 (85), 217 (22), 199 (100), 197 (27), 183 (38), 181 (32), 167 (23), 139 (29), 116 (25), 105 (28), 77 (38), 58 (41). Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₃₅N₃O₂Si (497.25): C, 72.40; H, 7.09; N, 8.44. Found: C, 72.67; H, 7.32; N, 8.69.

(±)-19a. Colourless oil. IR (film) ν: 3365, 2928, 2857, 1109, 771 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 7.91-7.87 (m, 2H); 7.68-7.66 (m, 4H); 7.49-7.35 (m, 9H); 5.01 (q, 2H, J = 7.2 Hz, 2-H); 4.71 (dt, 1H, J = 10.3, 7.2 Hz, 1-H); 3.66 (d, 2H, J = 5.7 Hz, OCH₂); 2.59-2.45 (m, 2H); 2.20-1.87 (m, 2H); 1.06 [s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃] ppm. ¹³C NMR/DEPT (CDCl₃) δ: 135.6 (CH), 133.6 (C), 130.2 (C), 129.7 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 128.5 (C), 127.7 (CH), 127.6 (C), 75.8 (CH), 68.8 (CH), 67.0 (CH₂), 36.6 (CH), 34.4 (CH₂), 33.6 (CH₂), 26.9 [C(CH₃)₃], 19.3 (C) ppm. MS (EI m/z): 567 [M – (tert-Bu), 19], 566 (57), 217 (22), 199 (100), 197 (27), 183 (38), 181 (32), 167 (23), 139 (29), 116 (25), 105 (28), 77 (38), 58 (41). Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₃₄N₃O₂Si (497.25): C, 72.40; H, 7.09; N, 8.44. Found: C, 72.67; H, 7.32; N, 8.69.

(±)-t-4-(tert-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy)methyl)-t-2-[4-(4-methylphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]-r-1-cyclopentanol ((±)-17b) and (±)-t-4-(tert-butyldiphenylsilloylomethyl)-t-2-[4-(4-methylphenyl)-5-iodo-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]-r-1-cyclopentanol ((±)-19b).

Method B. the non-void fractions eluted with hexane–EtOAc 3:1 afforded successively unaltered (±)-19b (5%) and (±)-17b (82%).

(±)-17b: Colourless oil. IR (film) ν: 3352, 2928, 2933, 1463, 1387, 1226, 1109, 1094, 910, 819, 702 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 7.65 (dd, 4H, J = 0.8, 7.9 Hz, 4H); 7.62 (s, 1H, 5-H triazole); 7.58 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz); 7.45-7.35 (m, 6H); 7.19 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz, 2-H); 4.62-4.56 (2H, m); 3.65 (dd, 2H, J = 5.6, 2.3 Hz, O CH₂); 2.52-2.39 (m, 2H); 2.37 (s, 1H, D₂O exchangeable, OH); 2.37 (s, 3H, CH₃); 2.15-2.05 (m, 2H); 2.00-1.92 (m,1H); 1.07 [s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃] ppm. ¹³C NMR/DEPT (CDCl₃) δ: 147.2 (C), 137.9 (C), 135.5 (CH), 133.5 (C), 129.8 (CH), 129.4 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 127.4 (C), 125.5 (CH), 118.6 (CH), 76.4 (CH), 68.4 (CH), 67.0 (CH₂), 36.3 (CH), 34.1 (CH₂), 32.8 (CH₂), 26.9 [C(CH₃)₃], 21.2 (CH₃), 19.3 (C) ppm. MS (EI m/z): 455 (36), 454 (100), 199
HRMS m/z calcd for $\text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{37}\text{N}_3\text{O}_2\text{Si}$, 511.2655; found, 511.2639.

(±)-19b: Yellowish oil. IR (film) ν: 3330, 2929, 1731, 1428, 1106, 802, 701 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ: 7.78-7.68 (virtual d, 2H, $J = 8.2$ Hz); 7.67-7.62 (m, 4H); 7.43-7.34 (m, 6H); 7.26-7.22 (m, 2H); 4.97 (q, 1H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2-H); 4.69 (dt, 1H, $J = 10.1, 7.2$ Hz, 1-H); 3.64 (d, 2H, $J = 5.8$ Hz, OCH$_2$); 2.61-2.47 (m, 2H); 2.39 (s, 3H, CH$_3$); 2.15-2.04 (m, 1H); 1.97-1.91 (m, 2H); 1.04 [s, 9H, C(CH$_3$)$_3$] ppm. $^{13}$C NMR/DEPT (CDCl$_3$) δ: 138.5 (C), 135.6 (CH), 133.6 (C), 129.7 (CH), 129.2 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 127.3 (C), 75.8 (CH), 68.8 (CH), 67.0 (CH$_2$), 36.6 (CH), 34.4 (CH$_2$), 33.6 (CH$_2$), 26.9 [C(CH$_3$)$_3$], 21.3 (CH$_3$), 19.3 (C) ppm. MS (EI m/z): 581 (26), 580 [(M–tert-Bu), 73], 451 (21), 217 (21), 208 (21), 199 (100), 197 (37), 183 (36), 181 (40), 139 (21), 135 (72), 130 (29), 129 (21), 105 (27), 103 (23), 97 (23), 77 (26). HRMS m/z calcd for $\text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{36}\text{N}_3\text{O}_2\text{Si}$, 637.1621; found, 637.1647.

(±)-t-4-(terc-butyldiphenylsilyloxy)methyl-t-2-[4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]-r-1-cyclopentanol ((±)-17c).

Method B. The non-void fractions eluted with hexane–EtOAc 1:1 afforded (±)-17c (68%).

(±)-17c. White solid, m.p. = 107-108 ºC. IR (KBr) ν: 3054, 2932, 2857, 1497, 1465, 1429, 1109, 1078, 1027, 705 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ: 7.68-7.65 (m, 4H); 7.60 (s, 1H, 5-H triazole); 7.56-7.55 (m, 2H); 7.45-7.35 (m, 6H); 6.90 (virtual d, 2H, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2-H); 4.63-4.57 (m, 2H); 3.83 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$); 3.70-3.61 (m, 2H); 2.55-2.37 (m, 2H); 2.16-2.05 (m, 2H); 2.04-1.86 (m, 1H); 1.07 [s, 9H, C(CH$_3$)$_3$] ppm. $^{13}$C NMR/DEPT (CDCl$_3$) δ: 159.5 (C), 146.9 (C), 135.5 (CH), 133.5 (C), 129.7 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 126.8 (CH), 123.0 (C), 118.2 (CH), 114.1 (CH), 76.4 (CH), 68.4 (CH), 67.0 (CH$_2$), 55.2 (OCH$_3$), 36.2 (CH), 34.1 (CH$_2$), 32.8 (CH$_2$), 26.8 [C(CH$_3$)$_3$], 19.2 (C) ppm. MS (EI m/z): 471 (36), 470 [(M–tert-Bu), 100], 199 (72), 197 (30), 183 (29), 181 (21), 175 (24), 135 (38), 121 (22), 117 (20). Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{36}\text{N}_3\text{O}_3\text{Si}$ (527.26): C, 70.55; H, 7.07; N, 7.96. Found: C, 70.77; H, 7.21; N, 7.96. Deprotection of terc-butyldiphenylsilyloxyethyl ethers: synthesis of (±)-t-4-(hydroxymethyl)-t-2-[4-(4-aryl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-r-1-cyclopentanols (±)-10a-c and (±)-c-4-(hydroxymethyl)-t-2-[4-(phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-r-1-cyclopentanols (±)-11a-c. A solution of the corresponding triazole derivative (±)-16a-c/(±)-17a-c (1 mmol) and TBAF (1M in THF, 2mmol) in dry THF (15 mL), was stirred at room temperature under Ar atmosphere until complete disappearance of the starting material was detected by TLC. The solvents were then evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure, the residue dissolved in EtOAc (15 mL) and washed with brine (10 mL). The organic layer was dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$), evaporated under reduced pressure and the corresponding crude reaction product purified by flash column chromatography using successively EtOAc and acetone as eluents.

(±)-t-4-(hydroxymethyl)-t-2-[4-(phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-r-1-cyclopentanol ((±)-10a). 97% Yield, white solid, m.p. = 58-59 ºC. IR (film) ν: 3364, 2924, 1650, 1518, 1047 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ: 7.79-7.77 (m, 2H, 2H); 7.76 (s, 1H, 5-H$_{\text{triazole}}$); 7.44-7.32 (m, 2H); 7.31-7.25 (m, 1H); 4.74-4.69 (m, 1H, 2-H); 4.49 (virtual q, 1H, $J = 5.8$ Hz, 1-H); 3.76-3.67 (m, 3H, one of them D$_2$O exchangeable, HOCH$_2$ + OH); 2.67-2.62 (m, 1H); 2.45-2.31 (m, 4H); 1.67 (dt, 1H, $J =$
13.7, 5.9 Hz, 3-H ppm. $^{13}$C NMR/DEPT (CDCl$_3$, $\delta$): 147.5 (C), 130.4 (C), 128.9 (CH), 128.2 (CH), 125.6 (CH), 119.3 (CH), 77.5 (CH), 68.2 (CH$_2$), 65.6 (CH$_2$), 36.7 (CH), 35.2 (CH$_2$), 31.5 (CH$_2$) ppm. MS (EI m/z): 259 (M, 1), 145 (23), 116 (38), 102 (58), 97 (37), 91 (25), 89 (28), 83 (32), 81 (28), 79 (31), 77 (25), 71 (41), 69 (63), 67 (42), 58 (100), 57 (75), 55 (74). Anal. Caled for C$_{14}$H$_{17}$N$_3$O$_2$ (259.13): C, 64.85; H, 6.61; N, 16.20. Found: C, 65.12; H, 6.93; N, 16.46.

(±)-4-(Hydroxymethyl)-2-(4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-r-1-cyclopentanol ((±)-10c). 99% Yield, white solid, m.p. = 115-116 °C. IR (KBr) ν: 3350, 3110, 2941, 2885, 1615, 1495, 1444, 1381, 1251, 1051, 1021 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (acetone-$d_6$, $\delta$): 8.28 (s, 1H, 5-H triazol); 7.80 (d, 2H, J = 8.8 Hz); 7.00 (d, 2H, J = 8.8 Hz); 4.78-4.70 (m, 1H, 2-H), 4.50-4.47 (m, 1H, 1-H); 3.82 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$); 3.60-3.57 (m, 2H, HOC$_2$H$_2$); 2.79-2.93 (m, 2H, D$_2$O exchangeable, 2 OH); 2.52-2.42 (m, 2H); 2.00-1.80 (m, 1H) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR/DEPT (acetone-$d_6$, $\delta$): 160.7 (C), 147.9 (C), 127.8 (CH), 125.4 (C), 119.9 (CH), 115.3 (CH), 77.7 (CH), 69.7 (CH), 66.1 (CH$_2$), 36.4 (CH), 32.4 (CH$_2$), 29.7 (CH$_2$), 21.3 (CH$_3$) ppm. MS (EI m/z): 273 (M, 19), 230 (13), 176 (16), 175 (74), 174 (15), 147 (31), 146 (15), 135 (27), 132 (100), 121 (12), 117 (23), 89 (24), 77 (11). Anal. Caled for C$_{15}$H$_{19}$N$_3$O$_3$ (289.33): C, 62.27; H, 6.62; N, 14.52. Found: C, 62.59; H, 6.89; N, 14.36.

(±)-4-(Hydroxymethyl)-r-2-(4-(4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-r-1-cyclopentanol ((±)-11a). 93% Yield, white solid, m.p. = 61-62 °C. IR (KBr) ν: 3289, 3083, 2939, 1441, 1107, 1042 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, $\delta$): 7.79-7.75 (m, 2H); 7.77 (s, 1H, 5-H triazol); 7.44-7.39 (m, 2H); 7.35-7.31 (m, 1H); 4.76-4.69 (m, 1H, 2-H), 4.52-4.46 (virtual q, 1H, J = 5.8 Hz, 1-H); 3.76-3.67 (m, 2H, HOCH$_2$); 2.68-2.59 (m, 1H); 2.45-2.31 (m, 4H, OH); 1.67 (dt, 1H, J = 13.8, 5.9 Hz, 3-CH/H) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR/DEPT (CDCl$_3$, $\delta$): 147.44 (C), 130.33 (C), 128.85 (CH), 128.22 (CH), 125.64 (CH), 119.35 (CH), 77.52 (CH), 68.25 (CH), 65.62 (CH$_2$), 36.68 (CH$_2$), 35.26 (CH$_2$), 31.56 (CH$_2$) ppm. MS (EI m/z): 259 (M, 23), 145 (56), 144 (30), 117 (90), 104 (22), 103 (27), 102 (100), 90 (28), 89 (38), 79 (22), 69 (24), 67 (39), 58 (44), 55 (24). Anal. Caled for C$_{14}$H$_{17}$N$_3$O$_2$ (259.13): C, 64.85; H, 6.61; N, 16.20. Found: C, 64.56; H, 6.78; N, 16.15.

(±)-4-(Hydroxymethyl)-r-2-(4-(4-methylphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-r-1-cyclopentanol ((±)-11b). 99% Yield, white solid, m.p. = 119-120 °C. IR (KBr) ν: 3282, 1649,1517, 1041, 819 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, $\delta$): 7.68 (s, 1H, 5-H triazol); 7.59-7.24 (m, 2H); 7.16 (d, 2H, J = 7.8
Hz); 4.69-4.64 (m, 1H, 2-H); 4.46-4.42 (m, 1H, 1-H); 3.67-3.60 (m, 2H, HOCH₂); 2.60-2.52 (m, 1H); 2.33 (s, 3H, CH₃); 2.38-2.24 (m, 3H); 1.65-1.61 (m, 1H, 3-HH) ppm. ¹³C NMR/DEPT (CDCl₃) δ: 147.6 (C), 138.0 (C), 129.5 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 125.6 (CH), 118.9 (CH), 77.5 (CH), 68.2 (CH), 65.6 (CH₂), 36.7 (CH), 35.3 (CH₂), 31.5 (CH₂), 21.3 (CH₃) ppm. MS (El m/z): 273 (M, 23), 158 (24), 131 (80), 130 (44), 119 (20), 118 (20), 117(23), 116 (83), 115 (100), 103 (25), 91 (20), 79 (21), 77 (28), 69 (25), 67 (32), 57 (25), 55 (27). Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₉N₃O₂ (273.33): C, 66.91; H, 7.01; N, 15.37. Found: C, 67.26; H, 7.33; N, 15.54.

(±)-4-(Hydroxymethyl)-t-2-(4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-r-1-cyclopentanol ((±)-11c). 74% Yield, white solid, m.p. = 124-125ºC. IR (KBr) ν: 3282, 1649, 1517, 1041, 819 cm⁻¹. H NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 7.68 (s, 1H, 5-H_triazol); 7.59-7.24 (m, 2H); 7.16 (d, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz); 4.69-4.64 (m, 1H, 2-H); 4.46-4.42 (m, 1H, 1-H); 3.67-3.60 (m, 2H, HOCH₂); 2.60-2.52 (m, 1H); 2.33 (s, 3H, CH₃); 2.38-2.24 (m, 3H); 1.65-1.61 (m, 1H, 3-HH) ppm. ¹³C NMR/DEPT (CDCl₃) δ: 147.6 (C), 138.0 (C), 129.5 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 125.6 (CH), 118.9 (CH), 77.5 (CH), 68.2 (CH), 65.6 (CH₂), 36.7 (CH), 35.3 (CH₂), 31.5 (CH₂), 21.3 (CH₃) ppm. MS (El m/z): 273 (M, 23), 158 (24), 131 (80), 130 (44), 119 (20), 118 (20), 117 (23), 116 (83), 115 (100), 103 (25), 91 (20), 79 (21), 77 (28), 69 (25), 67 (32), 57 (25), 55 (27). Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₉N₃O₃ (289.33): C, 62.27; H, 6.62; N, 14.52. Found: C, 62.63; H, 6.52; N, 14.68.
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