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ABSTRACT

This paper describes how lists, trees, and networks are employed in the set of composing programs developed by the author for the 1985 Yamaha Exposition. Ames first introduces basic terminology associated with linked data structures. He shows how linear lists can be used to collate simultaneous rhythm layers and to enhance sensitivity to current or recent musical events. He next discusses how linked lists are compounded into a linked tree of finite depth and infinite breadth, which Ames uses to organise a knowledge base of scaling progressions between "source" and "destination" chords. The remainder of the paper discusses three instances in which Ames designed linked networks to represent complex musical structures: 1) a hierarchic form of "origins", "nodes", and "goals", in which each low-level element links to two higher-level elements, 2) a three-tiered monodic network of chords, basic melody notes, and embellished melody notes, and 3) a polyphonic network which organizes notes both "horizontally" into contrapuntal parts and "vertically" into chords.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This paper describes the linked data structures employed by the sets of composing programs which I developed for the 1985 international exposition in Yamaha, Japan. These programs implement four composers' algorithmic processes according to musical directives supplied by three composers: Toy Harmonium (John Nyföll), Circus Fins (Learen Hiler), Transictions (Charles Pérez), and Mix or Match (Hiller and Ames). The Toy Harmonium program was implemented with assistance from Leonard Niseman. For details of the musical directives, of the general strategies used in realizing them, and of the circumstances surrounding the project, I refer readers to a companion paper by Learen Hiler, Robert Franko, and myself (1). Though linked structures such as lists, trees, and networks have long been core subjects in undergraduate computer science curricula, their integration into composing programs has been slow. I hope to demonstrate here that advanced data structures for music are much more than an intellectual curiosity. During my recent experience with the Yamaha project, I found myself confronted by many problems of implementation which lent themselves quite elegantly to linked structures; indeed, many of these problems could only have been solved by longer, less general, and much slower programs—had not linked structures been available.

I stress that all of the applications described in this paper were motivated by practical necessity, not by fashionable programming philosophies or by special features of the language (2). As has been my practice over the past several years, each of the Yamaha programs was developed from scratch with the specific goals of the composer(s) in mind. Not only does this practice enable me to optimize algorithms and data structures for the tasks at hand, it continuously encourages me to seek out new ways of solving problems.

2.0 FUNDAMENTALS

A data structure is a construct of elements called either nodes or records, each containing one or more fields of discrete information. For example, a melody might be represented using a data structure with one node of data describing each note. Attributes such as the starting time, duration, and content of a note would occupy fields within such a node.

In a linked data structure, each node incorporates additional fields called links or pointers. These additional fields direct a program to relevant items in the structure, for example: to the "predecessor" or "successor" of each note in a melody (3).
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3.0 LINEAR LISTS

The simplest of linked data structures are linear lists, and an excellent example of a linear list is the melodic structure described above. Linear lists are used to sequence events in a file. Each node in a linear list has at most one direct "predecessor" and at most one direct "successor" (4). Linear lists are most appropriate when sequences are subject to frequent insertions and deletions, because such modifications can be effected simply by revising a few links (5).

3.1 Rhythmic Layering

John Ryhill's compositional directives for Toy Harmonium described a place divided up into segments, each with many simultaneous rhythmic layers. One of these segments diverges gradually from blocked chords into random clusters, others converge gradually from clusters into blocked chords. In order to bring the rhythm back into synchronisation at the end of a segment, Narada and I adopted the expedient of generating an independent rhythmic sequence for each layer, then "squeezing" this sequence so that it fitted exactly into the segment. The program collates these different layers into a single stream of notes which it passes into a subsequent pitch-selection stage.

Figure 1 shows how the rhythmic layers of Toy Harmonium are processed. The mechanism involves three linear lists. Denoted in Figure 1 as FREE, LAYER, and BUFFER, FREE holds all notes not currently being used to describe notes; LAYER serves as a temporary holding queue for notes in the current layer, prior to squeezing; BUFFER holds all previously generated notes in the current segment, sorted in increasing order of starting times. Of the list-switch operations employed in Figure 1, "insertion" and "flushing" require explanation. The program "inserts NOTE into BUFFER relative to STARTNOTE" by (1) setting a pointer PTER to the tail of BUFFER, (2) working its way backward through BUFFER until START(PTER) no longer exceeds START(NOTE), and (3) "inserting" NOTE into BUFFER just after PTER. The program "flushes" BUFFER by repeating the following steps until BUFFER is empty: (1) detecting a node from the head of BUFFER, (2) writing out the note attributes stored in this note, and (3) appending the node to FREE.

3.2 Sensitivity to Current Events

For his Circus Piece, Lejaren Hiller supplied me with a sheet of music paper containing twelve handwritten "modules" while the program was to employ in various transpositions, registers, and instrumentations. He directed me that the proportions in which these modules and attributes of modules were to be chosen would follow certain prescribed statistical distributions, as should the number of modules occurring simultaneously at any given moment in the piece.

In order to realize Hiller's directives, I developed a program which maintains a list of all the modules currently sounding. The starting time for each group of newly entering modules is determined by the earliest ending time in this list. At such moments, the program first computes how many new modules will enter in accordance with Hiller's prescribed distribution. It then selects attributes for these new modules and appends them to the list. Once the attributes of any new
module have been determined, the program collates the contents of this module into a common stream of notes.

Figure 2 shows the process used to compose Clanger Pieces. Explicit in Figure 2 are a list of currently active modules called LIST and a note queue called BUFFER serving much the same purpose as BUFFER in Figure 1. Lists of free notes for modules and notes are also implicit in the process: should the list of free notes for notes become exhausted, the program recycles notes by writing out the earliest notes in BUFFER. Also consulted by the program are lists of musical material indexed under the generic symbol MATERIAL: MATERIAL[I] contains material for the Ith of Hiller's twelve modules.

Notice that the program inserts modules into LIST with respect to ending times rather than starting times. This procedure ensures that the modules which finish soonest will always reside at the head of LIST.

3.3 Sensitivity to Recent Events

The material of my own Transitions is a contextual texture organized horizontally as a progression of chords and vertically as a composite of six "registral channels" (6). As it generates this texture, the program also orchestrates it by selecting one of six "spectral" channels and one of six "spatial" channels for each note.

Registral, spectral, and spatial channels are spaced equidistantly between limits established by the program's lowest and highest registra; lowest and highest positions of a band-pass filter relative to the fundamental frequency: left and right speaker. The companion paper (1) details how registral and spectral limits evolve over the course of the work.

The texture-generating mechanism for Transitions is controlled by five parameters selected during an earlier stage of production: 1) speed - the average number of chordal attacks per second of music; 2) syncopation - the random variance around the speed; 3) acceleration - a percentage governing how many notes hold over between chords; 4) articulation - a percentage relating chordal durations to periods.

Figure 3 shows how the texture-generating mechanism worked. Explicit in Figure 3 are three lists: CURRENT, PREVIOUS, and...
CHORD-START(DURATION) = BEGIN_TIME;
for each chord in segment:
    compute number of sustaining notes SUSTAIN(DURATION);
    compute period between chords ECHO(DURATION);
    compute DURATION between chords ECHO(DURATION);
    if SUSTAIN(DURATION) < ECHO(DURATION) + DURATION(
        note: if note is a chord note, replace ECHO(DURATION) with SUSTAIN(DURATION);
    )
    note: if note is a chord note, replace ECHO(DURATION) with SUSTAIN(DURATION);
    and:
    note: head of CURRENT:
    while note is at 0:
        if DURATION(note) == DURATION(PREVIOUS)
            note: head of CURRENT;
        end:
        if SUSTAIN(DURATION) < ECHO(DURATION) + DURATION(note)
            select a free note:
                START(note) = CHORD-START(DURATION);
            select a registral channel
                REGISTER(note) == CHORD-START(DURATION);
            select a spectral channel
                SPECTRAL(note) == CHORD-START(DURATION);
            if SPECTRAL(note) == PREVIOUS(SPECTRAL(note))
                not already present in CURRENT:
            end:
            if SELECTED(SPECTRAL(note))< SELECTED(SPECTRAL(note)):
                any note in CURRENT with the same registral channel
                has a different spectral channel;
            end:
            if SELECTED(SPECTRAL(note))< SELECTED(SPECTRAL(note)):
                any note in CURRENT with the same registral channel
                has a different spectral channel;
            end:
        end:
        if CURRENT(DURATION) > DURATION(PREVIOUS)
            NEXT(Note) = CURRENT(note);
        end:
        NEXT(Note) = CURRENT(note);
    end:
    CURRENT(note) = CURRENT(DURATION);
end:
FLOAT BUFFER.

Figure 3: Mechanism for generating polyphonic textures in Transitions.

BUFFER. CURRENT holds notes which are active in the current chord; PREVIOUS holds notes which released in the immediately preceding chord; while BUFFER holds a stream of notes sorted in increasing order of starting times. Each time it composes a new chord, the program first determines which notes do not sustain from the preceding chord and transfers those notes from CURRENT to PREVIOUS. The program next supplements the continuing notes in CURRENT with newly entering notes, selecting channels in each instance. The most basic rule for channel selection is that simultaneous notes may not occupy the same channel, and the program checks for this condition by examining notes in CURRENT. Phrases within each registral channel are maintained in spectral and spatial channels as well by the conditions relating new channels to channels already present in PREVIOUS.

4.0 TREES

We recall that nodes in a linear list are related sequentially as "predecessors" and "successors". Nodes of a tree, by comparison, are characterized by hierarchic relationships between "superior" and "inferior." Though a node in a tree may have at most one direct superior, such a node may have an arbitrary number of direct inferiors.

The process used in Mix or Match to compose chordal progressions shows how linked trees can be useful in representing contextually sensitive "productions" or "rewrite rules" for generative grammar (7). This particular grammar begins with an archetypal progression, such as:

ON / / PN / ?

The "productions" of this grammar elaborate upon this archetype by inserting
passing progressions between consecutive chords. For example:

```
CM / Gm 07 / PM 07 / G7 /
```

The form of these productions suggested to me a tree of finite depth in which the number of direct "inferiors" to each node was arbitrarily extendible through subsidiary linked-list structures. Figure 4 illustrates how this tree is organized. At the highest level resides a list of "source" chords. Inferior to these "source-"s are subsidiary lists of "destination" chords; each "destination" directs the program in turn to a list of appropriate passing progressions.

5.5 NETWORKS

Networks (8) are to trees as trees are to lists. Any node within a network may have one, two, or more "superiors"; in addition to this expanded hierarchical organization, nodes at equivalent levels (i.e., hierarchical "peers") may also be linked sequentially.

5.1 A Hierarchical Network

An example of a network in which each node has two superiors appears in the form-composing program for Transitions.

This program first generates an abstract description of the form as a hierarchy of sections, subsections, sub-subsections and so on (9). Dividing points of this form are marked by "terminals", with each terminal assuming one of three idiosynratic functions: "origina", "goal", and "node" (in a different sense from the normal usage of "node" in this paper). Figure 5 illustrates relationships between the first fourteen terminals of Transitions, each terminal of the musical structure is represented within the program by one node of a linked network.

Once this abstract description has been obtained, the program proceeds to select attributes for each terminal in such a manner that the three functions are expressed through appropriate similarities and contrasts. This selection process consists of recursive searches (10) which begin with terminals of broadest hierarchical significance and work their way down through more local relationships, backtracking whenever they compose themselves into a corner.

5.2 A Monolithic Network

A second example of a network resembles a linked tree to the extent that each "inferior" node links vertically to the next in line.

Figure 4: Tree of passing chord progressions for Mix or Ratc. Abbreviations for chord qualities are: M - major, m - minor, 7 - dominant, and n - diminished.
exactly one “superior”. However, additional sets of links organize nodes sequentially at each hierarchical level. Such a network coordinates the three compositional layers used by the Melody Maker program to represent a melody: 1) chords, 2) basic melody, and 3) embellished melody. Figure 5a illustrates these three layers using music actually composed by the program.

Figure 5b illustrates how the program represents the three layers depicted in Figure 6a as a linked network. Vertical links direct each chord to a subsidiary list of basic-melody notes; similarly, each basic-melody note has its own list of embellished-melody notes. The horizontal lists detail sequential relationships within each layer; these independent lists enable the program to traverse the basic melody without consulting the chordal progression or to traverse the embellished melody without consulting the basic melody.

5.3 A Polyphonic Network
The most elaborate linked data structure in all of the Tsukuba composing programs is the network used for the pitch-selection stage of Melody Maker. This pitch-selecting program gathers the polyphonic stream of notes described under heading 3.3 of this paper into “chords” at

Chords

Basic Melody

Embellished Melody

Figure 6a: Compositional layers in Melody Maker. Abbreviations for chordal qualities follow the conventions of Figure 4.
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points of concerted attack. The program also maintains schedules so that it can decide in which order the notes of each chord should be composed. This order is determined "on the fly" according to priorities gleaned through heuristic analysis of the immediately proceeding chord. For example, if a certain registral channel contains a dissonance, then the program note to resolve this dissonance before attending to other channels.

Figure 7 illustrates how the polyphonics network for Transitions is organized. The basic structure is a "master" list detailing all of the notes currently in memory, as the program proceeds through the piece. Old notes at the head of this list are continuously being written out in order to recycle memory for new notes being appended onto the tail. Superimposed upon this master list is an independent "horizontal" structure of linear lists, one for each registral channel. "Vertical" structure is provided
by the schedules, which are themselves organized into an ancillary list.

6.0 CONCLUSION

Linked data structures greatly facilitate the process of acquiring relevant information for compositional decisions, increasing the speed and musical sensitivity of composing programs. Properly employed, such structures can also enable a program to adapt itself to fluid data requirements, both from moment to moment during program execution and from day to day during program development as compositional input is expanded and "tuned".

In combination with heuristic decision-making algorithms, analytic feedback, and constrained searches with dependency-directed backtracking, linked data structures have brought composing programs to a level of sophistication which equals or surpasses human capabilities for performing well-defined tasks such as the ones described in this paper. The potential inherent in this technology -- both as an extension of human creative processes and as a tool for understanding these processes -- is enormous.

NOTES
2. In fact, the language for all these programs was FORTRAN 77 running under the VAX/VMS operating system.
3. A comprehensive explanation of how linked structures are implemented and maintained may be found in Donald Knuth's The Art of Computer Programming, 2nd edition (Addison-Wesley, 1973).
4. An additional requirement of linear lists is that no node can succeed itself, either directly or indirectly. This requirement eliminates lists which "wrap around" upon themselves. Similar restrictions apply to trees and networks.
5. Modifying sequential arrays, by contrast, requires wholesale transfers of information.
6. "Register channel" means the same as "contrapuntal part"; the term "channel" comes from Robert Erickson's Sound Structure in Music (University of California Press, 1976), pages 117-119.
7. cf. Stephen Holtzman, "A Generative Grammar Definitional Language for Music", Interface, volume 9, number 1, page 1 (1980). The Mix or Match productions were not recursively implemented; with hindsight, such an implementation would not have been difficult.
8. Networks are also called "directed graphs".