Be it further resolved, That the Vice-President and Chief Financial Officer is authorized to execute any and all documents necessary to complete such conveyance.

Regent Laro seconded the motion and it was adopted.

In this connection, Regent Nederlander asked that the conveyance include a section which would require the reversion of ownership to the Regents should building construction of the facility not begin within two years of the land transfer, and a restrictive covenant specifying the continued use of the property for research and development and to the technical and administrative activities related thereto.

Vice-President Brinkerhoff explained that the Cyclotron building no longer in use for its original purpose, would be an ideal location for the expansion of the Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering. He said the addition to the building would be funded from the Engineering Capital Campaign fund.

Regent Roach then moved that authorization be given to proceed with the addition to the Cyclotron Building, and to appoint Swanson Associates of Bloomfield Hills as architect for the project, as recommended. Regent Power seconded the motion and it was adopted. Regent Baker abstained from voting.

The Regents received an audit report for the donor pooled income fund for the month ending December 13, 1975 and the year ending December 31, 1976.

Regent Baker moved that the University be authorized to purchase the Buhr Machine Tool property. Regent Nederlander seconded the motion and it was adopted.

President Fleming then stated that a motion to raze Barbour/Waterman gymnasiums had been adopted by the Regents several months ago. Since then there has been much discourse from University as well as Community factions as to the historic value of these buildings, and the possible conversion of the space for various activities, including a Student Center. The Michigan History Division of the Michigan Department of State has informed the Board of Regents of its intention to nominate the University of Michigan Central Campus to the National Register of Historic Places as an Historic District. The history division has reported that the first step in this process has been undertaken and approved.

Mr. Fleming indicated that a report will be prepared to determine the significance of this action, and the process used in designating areas or buildings as historic sites.
Regent Brown moved that a detailed study be undertaken to determine the feasibility of using Barbour/Waterman gymnasiums as a Student Center as recommended by Michigan Student Assembly. Regent Power seconded the motion.

Regent Brown stated that conversion of the gymnasiums would be less costly than the construction of a new building and would provide more space. Michigan Student Assembly competently expressed the need for additional space for student activities and organizations. These buildings are located in an area of high pedestrian traffic, and therefore, would be an ideal place for a student center.

He indicated that the urgent needs of the Chemistry Department could not be met immediately, therefore, the University should take a closer, more detailed look at these buildings.

Regent Baker commented that this issue has been studied for a year. It has been conclusively established that there is no architectural significance to the buildings, and the problem of energy efficiency remains. The campus would be better served by the removal of these buildings, therefore, the Regents should continue their original motion to raze the structures.

Regent Roach indicated that from an economic standpoint the University cannot afford to continue operating these buildings for general use. He agreed that the central campus is historically significant, but the Barbour/Waterman gymnasiums had no historic value.

He said Michigan Student Assembly’s presentation was excellent but felt the need for space for student activities could be better accommodated elsewhere.

Regent Laro commented that he supported the original motion for economic reasons. Since the issue has generated so much interest, he has carefully considered other alternatives, but feels it is still a matter of economic priority and supports the original motion.

President Fleming then asked for a vote on Regent Brown’s motion to undertake a feasibility study for Barbour/Waterman gymnasiums to accommodate a student center.

Regents Baker, Dunn, Laro, Nederlander, Roach and Waters voted no. The motion failed.

X. OTHER

Regent Dunn moved to establish the William E. Stirton Professorship at The University of Michigan—Dearborn. Regent Roach seconded the motion and it was adopted.
Regent Roach moved that the revised policies on student records, designed to bring the University into compliance with the Family Education Rights of Privacy Act, be approved. Regent Laro seconded the motion and it was adopted. A copy of the policy is on file in the Office of the Secretary of the University.

The Regents received a report on the Department of Population Planning. A recommendation will be presented to the Regents at their April meeting.

The Regents received a report on the procedures for program closure. A recommendation will be presented to the Regents in April.

The Regents received a report regarding a request from the Michigan Bell Telephone Company for a permanent easement on the University of Michigan—Flint Court Street site.

The Regents received a status report on the UM—Flint Riverfront campus development.

Regent Nederlander moved that the following resolution regarding compliance with the Open Meeting Act (P.A. 267 of 1976) be approved:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the Regents have previously adopted a policy with respect to meetings of the Board of Regents expressing their commitment to conduct such meetings openly, as provided by the Michigan Constitution, and

WHEREAS, Act 267 of 1976, the Open Meetings Act, has been duly enacted,

In order to inform the public and the University community regarding Regental policy as to the conduct of meetings,

IT IS RESOLVED that effective on April 1, 1977, the policy of the Regents with respect to meetings of the Board of Regents shall be congruent in all respects with Act 267 of 1976.

FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Regents does hereby reserve exclusively to itself any and all powers of deliberations toward and rendering decisions on or in connection with public policy.

PROVIDED HOWEVER, that nothing contained herein shall be construed in any manner in derogation of any provision of any collective bargaining agreement or other contract to which the Board of Regents shall be or may become a party.

Regent Power seconded the motion and it was adopted.

Regent Dunn moved that the following guidelines for members of the public who wish to address the meeting of the Board of Regents be approved:

Guidelines for Members of the Public
Who Wish to Address a Meeting of the Regents

1. As a part of their regular monthly meeting agenda, the Regents will set aside a one-hour period for the purpose of enabling representatives of